# Teamwork skills in academic events that promote open innovation: A comparative analysis between in-person and virtual formats

# Habilidades de trabajo en equipo en eventos académicos que fomentan la innovación abierta: Un análisis comparativo entre formato presencial y virtual

ECHEVARRIA-CHAN, Ivonne†\*, FLORES-AZCANIO, Nancy Patricia and ESCAMILLA-REGÍS, Daisy

Instituto Tecnológico de Tlalnepantla Universidad Politécnica del Valle de México Tecnológico de Estudios Superiores de Cuautitlán Izcalli

ID 1st Author: Ivonne, Echevarria-Chan / ORC ID: 0000-0002-6475-7438, CVU CONAHCYT ID: 993505

ID 1st Co-author: Nancy Patricia, Flores-Azcanio / ORC ID: 0009-0009-3799-1075, CVU CONAHCYT ID: 673888

ID 2<sup>nd</sup> Co-author: Daisy, Escamilla-Regís / ORC ID: 0000-0003-4062-0514

**DOI:** 10.35429/JUP.2022.18.7.24.28

Received September 10, 2023; Accepted December 28, 2023

#### Abstract

#### Academic events that promote open innovation, within the training of engineers, allow knowledge to be obtained and developed in certain areas, but also promote the development of competencies and skills in the participants. In this research, the teamwork skills they develop within two academic events, in person and virtually, with similar characteristics, were evaluated with the aim of comparing how much they take advantage of the ability to work as a team. A methodology was used with a descriptive quantitative approach and through the application of evaluation instruments that were designed based on item response theory and classical test theory. This project was applied at the Instituto Tecnológico de Tlalnepantla in the Latin American Innovation Rally event in virtual format and the Hackatec event in-person format in local stages. They participated in each event through multidisciplinary work teams, responding to NGO challenges in a continuous period of 28 hours. Based on the results obtained in a comparative table, the hypothesis that the ability to work as a team is developed in the same way in an academic event in virtual format as in one in person.

## Teamwork, Multidisciplinary teams, Academic innovation events

#### Resumen

Los eventos académicos que fomentan la innovación abierta, dentro de la formación del ingeniero permiten obtener y desarrollar el conocimiento en determinadas áreas, pero también impulsan el desarrollo de competencias y habilidades en los participantes. En esta investigación se evaluó la habilidad del trabajo en equipo que desarrollan dentro de dos eventos académicos, de forma presencial y virtual con características similares con el objetivo de comparar que tanto aprovechan la habilidad de trabajar en equipo. Se utilizó una metodología con enfoque cuantitativo descriptivo y por medio de la aplicación de instrumentos de evaluación que se diseñó en base a la teoría de respuestas del item y la teoría clásica de pruebas. Este proyecto se aplicó en el Instituto Tecnológico de Tlalnepantla en el evento latinoamericano de innovación formato virtual y el evento Hackatec formato presencial etapas locales. En cada evento por participaron medio de equipos de multidisciplinario dando respuesta a desafíos de ONG en un lapso de 28 horas continua. Con base en los resultados obtenidos en un cuadro comparativo se refutó la hipótesis de que la habilidad de trabajar en equipo se desarrolla de igual manera en un evento académico en formato virtual que en uno de formato presencial.

Trabajo en equipo, Equipos multidisciplinarios, Eventos académicos de innovación

**Citation:** ECHEVARRIA-CHAN, Ivonne, FLORES-AZCANIO, Nancy Patricia and ESCAMILLA-REGÍS, Daisy. Teamwork skills in academic events that promote open innovation: A comparative analysis between in-person and virtual formats. Journal of University Policies. 2023. 7-18: 24-28

<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence to Author (e-mail: ivonne.ec@tlalnepantla.tecnm.mx)

<sup>†</sup> Researcher contributing as first author.

#### Introduction

Competence-based education at higher level. specifically in engineering education, is based on identifying and developing specific and generic competences within engineering education, the latter offering students life skills that they can apply in their personal or work environment. Academic events play a very important role in the development of these competences, which is why this research project analyses the use of teamwork skills in academic events, specifically the participation in Hackatec in a face-to-face format and the Latin American Innovation Rally in a virtual format, both in the year 2022. It is important to verify the contrast of these events due to their similarity in work characteristics, in both events challenges are solved in a period of 28 continuous hours of work, the teams that participate.

They must be multidisciplinary and elaborate the solution proposals with an exposition of the team work. In order to carry out this analysis, information was collected by means of evaluation instruments applied to the participants of both events at the Tlalnepantla sites. The information obtained was analysed using SPSS software, where proposals for improvement were identified for future participation in these events.

The methodology applied was the descriptive quantitative one, which answers the research question: What level of success does the ability to work as a team develop in engineering students when participating in academic events in person and virtually? This allows us to fulfil the objective of evaluating the teamwork skills indicator with participants in the Hackatec and Rally Latinoamericano de Innovación academic events at the Tlalnepantla 2022 headquarters, using a form that is analysed with SPSS software, in order to highlight the success of teamwork in each of the events.

#### Methodology

Delimitation of the problem and research question

The participation in academic events in the past years has reflected the lack of skills that allow them to achieve one of the first places in the finals at national level. For this reason, this project is carried out to identify the influence of the ability to work in teams in different formats to obtain better results in the participation in academic events. In past years, participation in the Latin American Rally event was a unique case within the institution and on two occasions it managed to reach second place nationally.

In 2022, this new event called Hackatec will be integrated in an on-site format that offers participants experiences similar to the Rally. Given these options, we seek to answer the following question: What level of success does the ability to work as a team develop in engineering students when participating in academic events in different formats (face-toface and virtual)? The study was carried out with the analysis of data from the events: Latin American Innovation Rally 2022 virtual format and Hackatec 2022 face-to-face format, which allow a comparative table to be drawn up of the participants' achievement in working on the skill of teamwork. Both events have similar characteristics, such as solving challenges in a period of 28 continuous hours and participating multidisciplinary teams with proposed solutions, but they take place in different formats.

### **Procedure**

The competences and soft skills within engineering education in Mexico are applied in each classroom with the didactic tools that each teacher masters; however, it is very important to consider the options that the institution itself provides to enrich them. This project is based on a descriptive quantitative methodology which, through the collection of data from each of the participants in these events, allows us to reflect on the benefits of working as a team in different formats in order to obtain better results in the competences.

It is important to consider that the data collection was based on the item response theory and classical test theory, identifying a test of mastery calculated by the point biserial coefficient, making it possible to identify the use of an assessment instrument based on the skills that ASIBEI in the engineering graduate profile book (2016) defined as a priority in the training of the engineer.

Subsequently, the data was downloaded to SPSS software where the variables of use were defined to obtain the results to identify the development strategies within the specific objectives of the project.

#### **Hypothesis**

The ability to work in teams is developed in the same way in face-to-face events as in virtual events.

### Population and sample

In the Rally (event2) and Hackatec (event1) events in 2022 the population size was 53 and 51 participants respectively with a 95% confidence level which consisted of a sample of 47 questionnaires in event2 and in event1 the population size was 50 which consisted of a sample of 45 questionnaires.

This sample was determined by finite sample calculation:

$$n = \frac{z^2 pqN}{e^2(N-1) + z^2 pq}$$

Figure 1 Formula determined for the finite sample calculation

#### **Results**

Descriptive statistical analyses for both events were first defined for each of the questions. Table 1 Rally (event1) and Table 2 Hackatec (event2)

				1	déta	i Rajiu	inaet	ar)n	trita	322								
		ij	ti	ij	31	붠	7)	17	13	ŋ	13	ŧ.	25	15	13	15	7,5	15
į.	91	- 5	- 5	- 5	. 1	- 11	- 1	- 1	- 5	- 1	- 2	- #	- 1	- 11	- 5	- 1	- 5	- 5
	Agin	- 1	-1	- 1	- 1	- 1	- 4	- 1	- 1	- 1	- 1	t	- 1	- 1	- 4	- 1	- 1	
RĠ		19	25	111	B	. 33	35	10	Œ	18	- 23	38	29	38	17	38	Œ	13
kir		H	12	111	12	18	H	72	3	18	32	IX	10	10	-100	-10	-70	13
ks		1	1	- 3	. 1	1	- 1	- 3	- 2	- 1	-1	1	-1	-1	1	- 1	-1	
)ai	da	31	E	- 1	13	市	1	3	- 67	#	33	78	8	76	78	- 8	30	-
Vie		ĝi	18	11	ß	更	-	8	31	31	В	31	25	.01	4	1		-

**Table 1** Descriptive analysis by question event 1

	12	197	- O															
				2	13.	6	0	3	78	12	13	211	F	53	51	23	78	ta.
- 200		- 1	- 9	- (2)		1	- 6		10.0	1.5	- 8			8	-	9.	9.	1
1.8	313	- 31	- 1	- 10	- 1				- 11		1		1.1		-1:	1	. 17	- 1
000	28	28	18	38	- 11	32	286	280	28	38	- 100	38	32	33	28	181	13	- 32
.08	32	34	18	18	.01	22	18	28	32	.00	28	.18	313	82	28	18	12	314
. +			- 1			- 1	. 1	- 3	. 1	1		. 1		.1	- 1	- 1		- 1
100	19	- 6	. 101	- 19	. 19	13	75.	160	17.	- 10	T	78	20	17	101	3	TP.	13
78	11.	- 17	. 0	18	- 51	31	23	13	12	17	att	39	#	44	10	2	-12	- 41
	100	# 1 10 28 28 22 1 1 10 19	# 1 1 1 1 100 200 200 200 200 200 200 200	# 1 1 1 # 10 29 20 19 20 82 23 18 1 1 1 1 10 19 F 61	# 1 1 1 1 1 10 19 10 19 19 10 12 11 1 1 11 1 1 1 1 10 10 10 10 10		# 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 32 32 32 33 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34	# 2 1 1 1 1 1 2 1 90 29 20 19 20 21 22 28 80 42 20 10 10 20 22 20 10 12 20 10 10 20 22 20 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 10 10 17 41 10 7 17 76	# 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8		9 1 1 1 4 8 1 2 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	9 1 1 1 8 8 1 1 1 8 8 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	9 1 1 1 8 8 1 1 1 8 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1		9 1 1 1 4 F 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	N

**Table 2** Descriptive analysis by question event 2

When comparing the results of both events, it can be identified that the trend is reflected in the statement number 3 "almost always", so it is determined that the event in which the ability to work in a team is most developed is in the Hackatec face-to-face format. Also, out of 19 questions, 89.47% of the "almost always" statements were for the Hackatec event. This indicates that the event that requires reinforcement of teamwork skills is the virtual format Rally. In order to identify areas of opportunity in the development of improvement strategies, we considered the frequency of successes in statement number 2 "not very often", where it was important to establish workshops that allowed us to reinforce these actions in order to apply them in the next edition of the Latin American Rally, as well as in Hackatec. Table 3 Comparison of frequency between both events.

R	ally			Ha	ckated	
P 11	Rally			Р 1 Н	ackatec	
	N	%			N	%
Never	1	1.9%		Never	2	3.9%
Bit	12	22.6%		Bit	11	21.6%
Almost always	24	45.3%		Almost always	21	41.2%
Always	16	30.2%		Always	17	33.3%
P_2 1	Rally N	%		P_2 H	ackatec N	%
Bit	16	30.2%	-	Bit	15	29.4%
Almost always	29	54.7%		Almost always	28	54.9%
Always	8	15.1%		Always	8	15.7%
Aiways		13.170	-	Aiways		13.770
P_31	Rally			P_3 H	ackatec	
	N	%	1 _		N	%
Never	3	5.7%		Never	1	2.0%
Bit	20	37.7%		Bit	16	31.4%
Almost always	17	32.1%		Almost always	20	39.2%
Always	13	24.5%		Always	14	27.5%
P_4 1	Rally N	%		P_4 H	ackatec N	%
Never	3	5.7%		Never	5	9.8%
Bit	16	30.2%		Bit	14	27.5%
Almost always	24	45.3%		Almost always	21	41.2%
Always	10	18.9%		Always	11	21.6%
Ziiways	10	10.770		Aiways	- 11	21.070
P_51	Rally N	%		P_5 H	ackatec	%
Never	2	3.8%	- 1 -	Never	N 3	5.9%
	18	34.0%		Bit	-	27.5%
Bit					14	
Almost always	24	45.3%		Almost always	19	37.3%
Always	9	17.0%	-	Always	15	29.4%
P_6 1		%		P_6 H	ackatec	%
Never	N 2	3.8%		Never	N 1	2.0%
Bit	14	26.4%		Bit	10	19.6%
Almost always	26	49.1%		Almost always	28	54.9%
Always	11	20.8%		Always	12	23.5%
Tunays		20.070		1111435		23.370
P_71	Rally			P_7 H	ackatec	
	N	%			N	%
Never	1	1.9%		Never	1	2.0%
Bit	13	24.5%		Bit	14	27.5%
Almost always	23	43.4%		Almost always	20	39.2%
Always	16	30.2%		Always	16	31.4%
P_8 1	Rally N	%		P_8 H	ackatec N	%
Never	1	1.9%		Never	3	5.9%
Bit	19	35.8%		Bit	7	13.7%
Almost always	19	35.8%		Almost always	29	56.9%
Always	14	26.4%		Almost aiways	12	23.5%
Tiways	14	20.470	-	nways	14	23.370

P_9 Raily	Rally	Hackatec
Never   3   5.7%	·	
Never   3   5.7%   Bit   16   30.2%   Almost always   14   26.4%	P_9 Rally	
Almost always		
P_10 Rally	Bit 16 30.2%	Bit 13 25.5%
P_10 Rally		
Never	Aiways 14 : 20.4%	Always 12 23.5%
Never	D 10 D-11-	P 10 Harborn
Bit   15   28.3%   Almost always   18   34.0%   Almost always   12   23.5%   Always   14   26.4%   Almost always   12   23.5%   Always   12   23.5%   Almost always   22   43.1%   Almost always   22   43.1%   Almost always   22   43.1%   Almost always   27   52.9%   Almost always   21   20.5%   Bit   13   24.5%   Almost always   24   47.1%   Almost always   24   47.1%   Always   14   27.5%   Bit   12   23.5%   Always   14   26.4%   Almost always   24   47.1%   Always   16   31.4%   Almost always   26   51.0%   Always   14   27.5%   Bit   27.5%   Always   14   26.4%   Almost always   26   51.0%   Almost always   26   51.0%   Almost always   26   51.0%   Almost always   27   23.5%   Always   15   28.3%   Almost always   26   51.0%   Almost always   26   51.0%   Almost always   27   23.5%   Almost always   27   23.5%   Almost always   27   23.5%   Almost always   28   24.5%   Almost always   26   51.0%   Almost always   26   51.0%   Almost always   26   51.0%   Almost always   27   23.5%   Almost always   29   56.9%   Almost always   29   56.9%   Almost always   29   56.9%   Always   10   19.6%   Almost always   29   23.5%   Almost always   29   23.5%   Almost always   29   23.5%   Almost always   28   23.5%   Almost alway		
Almost always   18		
Always		
Never		
Never		
Never		
Bit		
Almost always   26   49.1%   Always   11   21.6%		
P_12 Rally	Almost always 26 49.1%	
Never	Always 9 17.0%	
Never   3   3   5.7%		
Never		
Bit   13   24.5%   Almost always   24   47.1%   Always   14   27.5%		
P_13 Rally	Bit 13 24.5%	Bit 12 23.5%
P_13 Rally		
Never   2   3.8%   Never   1   2.0%	10 ; 3U.276	14 ; 21.370
Never   2   3.8%   Never   1   2.0%	D 12 Della	B 12 Haakataa
Never	N %	N %
Almost always   24   45.3%   Always   16   31.4%	Never 2 3.8%	Never 1 2.0%
P_14 Rally		
P_14 Rally		
Never		
Never	P 14 Rally	P 14 Hackatec
Bit	N %	
Almost always   22   41.5%   Always   14   27.5%		
P_15 Rally		
Never   2   3.8%   Bit   13   25.5%   Almost always   14   26.4%		Always 14 27.5%
Never   2   3.8%   Bit   13   25.5%   Almost always   14   26.4%		
Never   2   3.8%   Almost always   14   26.4%		
P_16 Rally		
P_16 Rally	Bit 17 32.1%	
P_16 Rally		Always 12 23.5%
Never   5   9.4%   Never   3   5.5%	Aiways 14 20.470	
Never   5   9.4%   Never   3   5.5%	P 16 Polly	P 16 Haskatas
Bit   12   22.6%   Almost always   21   39.6%   Almost always   15   28.3%   Almost always   12   23.5%	N %	N %
Almost always   21   39.6%   Always   15   28.3%		
P_17 Rally		
Never   2   3.8%   Bit   14   26.4%   Almost always   23   43.4%   Almost always   14   26.4%   Almost always   14   26.4%   Bit   10   19.6%   Almost always   12   22.6%   Almost always   12   23.5%   Almost always   12   23.5%   Almost always   28   52.8%   Almost always   23   3.5%   Bit   11   20.8%   Almost always   23   3.5%   Bit   11   20.8%   Almost always   23   3.5%   Bit   11   20.8%   Almost always   23   3.5%   3.5%   Almost always   23   3.5%   3.5%   Almost always   23   3.5%	Always 15 28.3%	
Never   2   3.8%   Bit   14   26.4%   Almost always   23   43.4%   Almost always   14   26.4%   Almost always   14   26.4%   Bit   10   19.6%   Almost always   12   22.6%   Almost always   12   23.5%   Almost always   12   23.5%   Almost always   28   52.8%   Almost always   23   3.5%   Bit   11   20.8%   Almost always   23   3.5%   Bit   11   20.8%   Almost always   23   3.5%   Bit   11   20.8%   Almost always   23   3.5%   3.5%   Almost always   23   3.5%   3.5%   Almost always   23   3.5%		
Never   2   3.8%		
Bit		
Almost always   23   43.4%   Almost always   29   56.9%		
P_18 Rally	Almost always 23 43.4%	Almost always 29 56.9%
Never   2   3.8%   Never   1   2.0%	Always 14 26.4%	Always 10 19.6%
Never   2   3.8%   Never   1   2.0%		
Never   2   3.8%   Never   1   2.0%	P_18 Rally	P_18 Hackatec
Bit   17   32.1%   Almost always   22   41.5%   Almost always   22   41.5%   Almost always   28   54.9%   Almost always   12   22.6%   Almost always   12   23.5%	Never 2 3.8%	
Always   12   22.6%     Always   12   23.5%	Bit 17 32.1%	Bit 10 19.6%
P_19 Rally   P_19 Hackatec   N %   Never   3   5.7%   Never   2   3.9%		
Never   3   5.7%   Never   2   3.9%	12 25.070	AND DESCRIPTION
Never   3   5.7%   Never   2   3.9%	P 19 Rally	P 19 Hackatec
Bit         11         20.8%         Bit         12         23.5%           Almost always         28         52.8%         Almost always         23         45.1%	N %	N %
Almost always 28 52.8% Almost always 23 45.1%		
<u> </u>		

**Table 3** Frequency analysis per statement per question for both events

The last results are shown in Table 4 where the descriptive statistics for both events were analysed in general

	Heckatec Stat	istics	Rally Statistics						
ID			ID	ID					
N	Valid	51	N	Válido	53				
	Lost	0		Lost	0				
Half		26.00	Half		27.00				
Media	n	26.00	Media	n	27.00				
Fashio	n	1ª	Fashio	n	1ª				
Standa	rd Deviation	14.866	Standa	ard Deviation	15.443				
Varian	ce	221.000	Variar	nce	238.500				
a. The	re are multiple mod	les. It shows the	a. The	a. There are multiple modes. The smallest					
smalle	st value		value	value is displayed.					

Table 4 Descriptive-total analysis of both events

In summary, the results of the statistical analysis of both events indicate that the centre of the distribution is around the values of the means 26 for event1 and 27 for event2, where in both cases the standard deviation and variance are relatively high, suggesting that the individual values vary significantly in relation to the mean indicating a rather dispersed or asymmetric distribution.

#### **Conclusions and decisions**

It is important to identify that both events identify similar results with small variations from each other, allowing us to identify that the importance of participating in face-to-face academic events will continue to take a significant advantage over virtual academic events. This also allows us to know that the results obtained within this data analysis reject the hypothesis that both events have the same level of achievement in the ability to work in teams.

Currently, education and the development of competences within the training of students at higher education level is oriented towards diversified learning where, after the pandemic, strategies are being tried to be taken advantage of that in an emerging manner have solved immediate problems, but with which it is still not possible to complement them in their totality for meaningful learning. There are now many ways to make inroads into both formats and with more time to make them fully two hundred per cent effective ways of training future engineers. The work is still part of the updating of both actors, teachers and students, to be able to show better results in academic events with higher competitiveness.

#### References

Espinosa, E. O., & Rey-Benguría, C. (2019). El desarrollo de habilidades blandas en la formación de ingenieros. Científica, 23(1), pág. 61-67;

https://www.redalyc.org/journal/614/61458265 007/movil/

Guerra-Báez, S. P. (19 de 09 de 2018). https://www.redalyc.org/journal/2823/2823629 41009/html/. doi:10.1590/2175-35392019016464

Giordano Lerena, R. (2016). Competencias y perfil del ingeniero iberoamericano, formación de profesores y desarrollo tecnológico e innovación. (Documentos Plan Estratégico ASIBEI).

D. Andrés, Gonzalo, E. Macbeth, Guillermo, & San-Martín, Patricia S.. (2023). Percepciones del profesorado sobre factores extracognitivos en la enseñanza virtual durante la pandemia. Íconos. Revista de Ciencias Sociales, (77), 117-135. https://doi.org/10.17141/iconos.77.2023.5632

Romo, J.C., Palacios, P., Rodríguez, C.C., & López, C.S. (2018). REFORZAMIENTO DE LAS COMPETENCIAS BLANDAS EN LA ACREDITACIÓN ABET PARA LA FORMACIÓN DE LÍDERES TRANSFORMADORES.

https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/REFOR ZAMIENTO-DE-LAS-COMPETENCIAS-BLANDAS-EN-LA-LA-Romo-Palacios/679d11687b91b15d355f39e45e63386 0d7e686f4

Paulo Santiago, I. M. (2012). www.inee.edu.mx. Obtenido de https://www.inee.edu.mx/wp-content/uploads/2019/01/P1C231.pdf

Ferreira, Kleyton Carlos, & Lima, Paulo Gomes. (2013). Proyecto tuning América Latina en las universidades brasileñas: características ámbitos el área de en la educación. Paradígma, 34(1), 083-096. Recuperado en 14 de diciembre de 2023, de http://ve.scielo.org/scielo.php?script=sci arttext &pid=S1011-22512013000100006&lng=es&tlng=es.

Zepeda Hurtado, María Elena, Cortés Ruiz, Jésica Alhelí, & Cardoso Espinosa, Edgar Oliver. (2022). Estrategias para el desarrollo de habilidades blandas a partir del aprendizaje basado en proyectos y gamificación. RIDE. Revista Iberoamericana para la Investigación y el Desarrollo Educativo, 13(25), e057. Epub 12 de junio de 2023. https://doi.org/10.23913/ride.v13i25.1348