Comparative analysis dimensioned by sex on socioeconomic attributes, vocational orientation and gender perspective in career selection, Fresnillo, Zacatecas, Mexico, 2021

Análisis comparativo dimensionado por sexos sobre atributos socioeconómicos, de orientación vocacional y perspectiva de género en la selección de carrera, Fresnillo, Zacatecas, México, 2021

BARRÓN-PALOS, Eduardo Javier*†, SALINAS-AGUIRRE, María del Consuelo, URIBE-SIERRA, Sergio Elías and SIERRA-CASTRO, Fátima Stephania

Universidad Autónoma de Zacatecas, Unidad Académica Preparatoria Plantel III, Calle niño Artillero sin número, Fresnillo, Zacatecas, México.

ID 1st Author: *Eduardo Javier, Barrón-Palos /* **ORC ID:** 0000-0002-6381-4664, **Researcher ID Thomson:** E-6185-2019, **CVU CONACYT ID:** 940129

ID 1st Co-author: *María del Consuelo, Salinas-Aguirre /* **ORC ID:** 0000-0002-6542-1813, **Researcher ID Thomson:** S-9244-2018, **CVU CONACYT ID:** 615635

ID 2nd Co-author: Sergio Elías, Uribe-Sierra / ORC ID 0000-0002-8869-9690, CVU CONACYT ID: 561127

ID 3rd Co-author: Fatima Stephania, Sierra-Castro / ORC ID: 0000-0001-8416-822X, CVU CONACYT ID: 1124663

DOI: 10.35429/JUM.2022.16.6.5.19

Received July 15, 2022; Accepted December 30, 2022

Abstract

With the objective of comparing the main attributes in the choice of career for the municipality of Fresnillo, Zacatecas, Mexico, 2021. A study with a cross-sectional quantitative approach was carried out. Using a comparative analysis and taking into account gender as a grouping variable, using the T-Student parametric hypothesis test for independent samples, 159 attributes were compared on a 0-10 ratio scale in 445 subjects. As a preview of the results, it is noteworthy that the theoretical dimension with the most surprises is not the gender expectation in the choice of career, an understandable assumption if the grouping variable is gender. The hypothesis tests showed greater asymmetries during the verification on the student socioeconomic status between women and men, since, in 16 of 19 attributes measured, equivalent to 68.42% of the items, the marks of significance and low female averages required rejecting the hypothesis. of nullity With the above, it can be inferred that women are today the majority in the universities of Fresnillo, because an important segment of them is circumscribed to a socioeconomic level below the average, meanwhile, the men who access higher education, belong mostly at medium and high socioeconomic levels.

Socioeconomic attributes in career choice, Vocational guidance and career options, Gender perspective in career selection

Resumen

Con el objetivo de comparar los atributos principales en la elección de carrera para el municipio de Fresnillo, Zacatecas, México, 2021. Se elaboró un estudio con enfoque cuantitativo de corte transversal. Utilizando un análisis comparativo y teniendo en cuenta al sexo como variable de agrupación, empleando prueba de hipótesis paramétrica T -Student para muestras independientes, se cotejaron 159 atributos en escala de razón 0-10 a 445 sujetos. Como adelanto a los resultados, llama la atención, que la dimensión teórica con más sobresaltos no es la expectativa de género en la elección de carrera, suposición entendible si es sexo la variable de agrupación. Las pruebas de hipótesis arrojaron mayores asimetrías durante la compulsa sobre el estatus socioeconómico estudiantil entre mujeres y hombres, ya que, en 16 de 19 atributos medidos, equivalente al 68.42% de los ítems, las marcas de significancia y bajos promedios femeninos exigían rechazar la hipótesis de nulidad. Con lo anterior, se puede inferir, que las mujeres son hoy mayoría en las universidades de Fresnillo, porque un segmento importante de ellas se circunscribe a un nivel socioeconómico por debajo de la media, entretanto, los varones que acceden a la educación superior pertenecen mayoritariamente niveles socioeconómicos medios y altos.

Atributos socioeconómicos en la elección de carrera, Orientación vocacional y opciones de carrera, Perspectiva de género en la selección de carrera

Citation: BARRÓN-PALOS, Eduardo Javier, SALINAS-AGUIRRE, María del Consuelo, URIBE-SIERRA, Sergio Elías and SIERRA-CASTRO, Fátima Stephania. Comparative analysis dimensioned by sex on socioeconomic attributes, vocational orientation and gender perspective in career selection, Fresnillo, Zacatecas, Mexico, 2021. Journal University Management. 2022. 6-16:5-19.

[†] Researcher contributing as first author.

Introduction

At the national level, studies on the percentage of women enrolled in higher education have been decreasing in recent years, since, with the exception of a few engineering courses, the female gender prevails. In the municipality of Fresnillo, Zacatecas, Mexico, 80.7% of those surveyed said that women are in the majority in their classrooms. This new configuration is replicated with some fluctuations across the country, leading some to throw caution to the wind, suggesting that the problem of women's access to higher education has been solved. However, in detail, women in higher education report very different indicators and problems than men in terms of entry and retention. In order to locate significant differences between the responses of the gender groupings to the perceptions of the most important attributes in their career choice, the following comparative analysis is presented, which uses the parametric Student's t-test for independent samples as a segregation tool to accept or reject the researcher's hypothesis between the attributes. The instrument on the university dilemma examined consists of 159 items on a scale of zero to ten, broken down into six theoretical dimensions: 1) Socio-economic status of the student and career choice; 2) Job market for professionals in career choice; 3) Attitudes, aptitudes and academic security in career selection; 4) The role of guidance and the guidance counsellor in career choice; 5) Gender perspective in career choice; 6) Cultural elements in career choice, in addition, to an academic panel on relevant data that may well serve future aggregations. For each dimension or theoretical axis, we present tables of our own elaboration in the SPSS statistical package, preceded by the relevant readings on the elements of homogeneity and asymmetry between the sexes. All respondents, regardless of sex, place their social stratum above 8 units, but this perception is in stark contrast to the monthly family income, which amounts to 6.95 for women and 7. 46 in male in our scale, detailing, both groups present a median of 7 with iconic platicurtic form and 4 groups of opinion, one of these corros in women qualifies very low the item, placing the average below the median, by the previous thing, it is possible to affirm that the table in is not low socioeconomic matter, and in spite of it, the women are majority in the universities of Fresnillo, some of them, trying at every step to overcome an adverse economic condition of beginning.

Student socio-economic status and the labour market for professionals in career choice

Research linking economics and career choice mostly presents two classical foundations on opposing conceptual viewpoints. In the first place, considering longevity as a taxonomic basis, and almost always covered by the Marxist paradigm, there are works that hold in high esteem the socio-economic level of the student as an attribute in the choice of career, permanence in the degree and/or academic performance, which conclude by pointing out the urgency to widen the offer of public education as a lever of development and policy in favour of equality (Klubitschko, D., D., Klubitschko, D. and D.): (Klubitschko, D 1980), (Donoso S, 2007), (Garbanzo, G 2007) and (Armenta, 2007), (Di gresia L, 2009), (Chiroleu, A, 2009), (Carrasco, E 2014), Maldonado, M. P. (2006).This orientation dominated production of this category of studies until the 1990s, after which its production became marginalised in opposition to the neoclassical view recently imposed on the continent. Although they are still being engineered today, socio-economic characterisations of students have been stripped of ideologies of greater political action, and are now approached as an integral part of multidisciplinary studies on multifaceted problems with holistic theoretical dimensions that transcend the young people's stay in the classroom, and even the formal knowledge learnt during the degree, also addressing, so to speak, labour resilience, as can be seen in: Vercellino, S., Gibelli, T. I. & Chironi, J. M. (2022), García Hernández, F. R., & Quevedo-Aguado, M. P. (2022). Debeljuh, P., Foutel, M., & Torres Carbonell, S. (2022), Santos Miranda Pinto, M., Francisca Monteiro, A., & Meneses Osório, A. J. (2022), Casimiro (2021), Chavez, Y. L., Zumaeta, G. M. P., Tarrillo, J. J. C., & Zea, K. L. H. (2022), Delgado, G. (2006).

At the beginning of the tumultuous nineties, neoliberalism was recently adopted in Latin America as in much of the world. Pragmatic studies of the new paradigm radiated from the new paradigm, with no qualms about social demands of any kind. Gary Becker's theory of human capital suggests a new factor of production, "human capital", as an essential productivity element increase competitiveness at various levels, thanks to the proactive participation of individuals prone to changes, not only formal but also attitudinal. Under this mantra, a person or entity acts rationally to the extent that their decisions lead to the maximum benefit in terms of cost, thus, the university career decision is reduced to a merely quantitative matter of economic order, in which elements such as: duration of the degree, cost of the degree, expected future income, labour market in the area, etc., stand out. In this order of ideas we find: (Latiesa, 1987), (Cabrera G., 1987), (Bolaños, 2001), (Cavazos, 2003.), (Salas Velasco & Martín-Cobos, 2004), (Vries, 2008), (Jiménez, J., & Salas, M. 1999), (Barrón et al 2021).

Attitudes, aptitudes and academic security of the student and the role of guidance and counselling in career choice

The most prolific area of academic production on this issue is psychology through the branch of guidance. Consequently, vocational understandable that the results of articles on guidance are vast and varied. Authors such as (Covarruvias, ML, 2003), find that the family is the main influence on the career decision in the young person, while (González Maura, 2001) affirms that the student's self-determination is imposed on the choice. On the other hand, the writings of (De león & Briones, 2012) place the correlation between interest and aptitudes as an explanatory factor. It should be noted, however, that most of the works tend to focus on the role of adequate guidance as a determinant of a good decision (Camarena, 2009), (Jara, 2010).

"Vocational guidance is a process that aims at awakening vocational interests, adjusting these interests to the work competence of the subject and to the needs of the labour market. The first step of vocational rehabilitation is the choice of a realistic interest that will enable the subject to reach his/her occupational goal (Anthony et al., 1984)". Seen in: Virginia Galilea (ND, p.1).

Bisquerra, (1990, p.1): "guidance is not a one-off process, but a continuous process over time; it is not only addressed to people with special needs, but to everyone. Its objectives are: the development of the person, and the prevention of problems of all kinds; it intervenes through programmes" as seen in: Virginia Galilea (ND, p.1).

This work abstracts This work abstracts two important elements for career and campus selection used in vocational guidance, one for each complex variable of the attributes that we consider more adapted to the factor analysis that will be presented later.

(Allport, 2018) Defines "attitude as a state of mental disposition, organised in such a way as to exert a direct influence on a person's day-to-day behaviour". Whenever we talk about attitude, we need an object either material, an idea, collective or social, towards which to direct our attitude, which can be called attitudinal objective. (Castillero, 2018) Argues that "It receives the name of attitude to the effect of the set of beliefs and values relatively stable over time in the willingness or tendency to act in a certain way or undertake some kind of action." (Correa et al. 2019, P. ND).

Safety should be interpreted as a personal state that allows us to perceive that we move in a space free of real or potential risks, the absence or lack of which can cause various problems and damage. Therefore, we must consider that "safety" is associated with certainty, lack of risk or contingency, since absolute certainty is not possible, the element of risk is always present, regardless of the measures we take, so we must talk about the fact that there will never be a total level of safety. (Montero, 2013, P.205).

The gender perspective in career choice and other cultural elements

On the gender perspective side, the influence of discrimination to enter traditionally careers, sexism and neo-sexism, the wage differential between genders at the professional level, the asymmetrical progress in the labour and academic field, are assumed by the branch of feminism that studies the so-called glass ceilings, as an invisible but real barrier to the academic development of young female students and graduates. Within this branch, they are located in the publications concerning cultural components, where gender issues dominate the bibliography. (Torres & Pau, 2011) and their comparison between Spain and Germany on the scientific inclusion of women and their recognition in the workplace, or (Garcia, GP 2002) the exponential growth of women in "masculine" careers after globalisation. Gender Stereotypes in the Faculty of Public Accounting the Universidad Santo Tomás Villavicencio. Castro Villalobos, L. & nciso Cedeño, Y. (2022), are some examples of the state of the art in this niche of study.

The concepts used in the third section of the instrument to dimension the influence of discrimination, sexisms and neo-sexisms present in career choice, are circumscribed to the theoretical viewpoint on gender analysis, being more specific in the studies on "Glass Ceilings": "Gender analysis is the synthesis between gender theory and the so-called gender the feminist perspective derived from conception of the world and life. perspective is structured on the basis of ethics and leads to a post-humanist philosophy, because of its critique of the androcentric conception of humanity that left out half of the human race: women. And, despite existing in the patriarchal world, women have actually existed. It is remarkable that humanism has not noticed them. The gender perspective has as one of its aims to contribute to the subjective and social construction of a new configuration based on the re-signification of history, society, culture and politics from women and with women". Lagarde, M (2018, p. ND)

"Two allude concepts the segmentation and differentiation between women and men. The first is the glass ceiling, which refers to the set of limitations (tacit or veiled) that prevent women from rising to the highest positions of power in organisations (Burin, 1996). The other is the sticky floor, which alludes to the labours that keep women glued to the floor, i.e. everything that prevents them from moving from places traditionally linked to subalternity and care for others." Ranero (2018, p. 85)

In the same order of ideas about situations outside the subject, but which represent obstacles or concrete referents in the university decision, sociology and anthropology, present essays related to the social prestige and cultural relevance of careers in specific regions, (a young man from the desert of Zacatecas who wishes to study marine biology), proximity to the career or area that parents, friends or relatives studied or wish for the high school graduate, and even religiosity as external elements that influence the guidelines that the young person then assumes as his or her own and correct at the time of the decision, although it is worth mentioning that this is a marginal collection in terms of production.

This last complex variable of the study is the narrowest in terms of number of publications, however, within the anthropological and sociological catalogue, the most relevant categories and theoretical currents are evident, which served as a reference in the classification and operational definition of our instrument, and its consequent assignment of measurement scales.

"According to Figueroa de Amorós, (1993) the main factors that intervene are the following (Peñafiel *et al.*, 2019, p.129):

- The social prestige of the career.
- The zeitgeist.
- The coefficient of family traditionality.
- Vital needs.

Methodological design

With the aim of answering the general question "What are the main gender differences between the attributes for career choice in university students in Fresnillo, Zacatecas, in the year 2021?", and to corroborate the original hypothesis that the greatest asymmetries for career choice lie in the gender perspective. The present research was developed with quantitative approach and cross-sectional operational orientation. Since most of the items present a 0-10 ratio scale, where no independent variables were manipulated or pilot, operative, control or control groups were established, this is not an experimental research. With a perimental approach, as the entire population or nature is observed and measured indirectly, through a representative sample with which inferences are subsequently drawn. It falls within the second level of statistical methodology, comparative.

After a judicious theoretical review on the subject of career choice, the six dimensions mentioned above were defined and the research background was shaped, enunciating new elements, rescuing or adapting the existing ones from the qualitative to the quantitative, highlighting some socio-economic, gender (sexism and neo-sexism), cultural and labour market (labour information media) attributes, to later measure and compare. The resulting hybrid was an original instrument of 159 attributes on a 0-10 ratio scale, aggregated as follows: 1) socioeconomic status 19 items; 2) labour market 31 items; attitudes, skills and academic security 26 items; importance of vocational orientation 25 items; gender perspective 34 items; cultural elements 24 items.

At the end of 2020, the results of a pilot survey applied to 30 subjects from the Instituto Tecnológico Superior de Fresnillo (ITSF), were tested to verify the internal consistency and universality of the instrument, obtaining .935 Crombach's Alpha, and with the final application to 445 respondents, the same indicator increased to .968 and standardised to .967, with similar metrics for the partitions by gender and theoretical dimension. Based on an estimate of 4,234 high school students in all public universities in Fresnillo at the time of the survey, and according to the formula of Isaac, Stephen and William B. (1996), seen in (Gonzalez,. 2014) it was concluded to conduct 352 surveys, fortunately, 445 subjects were sampled, just over 10% of the population for a 95% confidence level.

However, the online work format prevailing at the time, and the reluctance of most of the authorities of the different academic units and universities, derived from the question on satisfaction with the current career, forced the survey to be extended until May 2021, with the internet being the only medium with the intermediation of social networks.

Academic panel

As mentioned above, this panel of general data is independent of the theoretical constructs or axes mentioned above, however, in this particular case, it was subjected to the same tests in order to establish differences between the groups. The average current age of female respondents is higher than that of male respondents by .77 units, which is explained by two reasons: the survey was carried out among university students of all grades, and women, even though they are the majority in almost all degree programmes, are more likely to drop out of school for economic reasons and lack of family support. No significant differences are observed in the age of career choice, so that the null hypothesis (H0) is retained, although the average age of choice is high, 16.57 for women and 16.24 for men, which indicates the importance of teaching the subject of vocational guidance in the second year of baccalaureate. There are also no notable disparities in terms of average university and satisfaction with current career, although the latter indicates a low average in either case, just above 5 for males and slightly lower for females.

		S		M	SD		gl	Sig.	H(t)
A Age	_current_age	F	313	21.38	3.03	2.74	443.00	0.006	Hl
		M	132	20.61	1.77	3.36	399.25	0.001	Hl
B Age_	_of_career_choice	F	313	16.57	4.11	0.85	443.00	0.395	HO
		M	132	16.24	2.61	1.01	375.65	0.311	HO
C Aver	rage_high_school_average	F	313	8.11	1.25	-3.15	443.00	0.002	Hl
		M	132	8.52	1.22	-3.19	252.13	0.002	Hl
D Colle	ege_average	F	313	8.14	0.93	-0.44	443.00	0.663	HO
		M	132	8.18	1.10	-0.41	213.96	0.684	HO
E Care	er_Satisfaction	F	313	4.98	3.63	-0.48	443.00	0.634	HO
		M	132	5.16	3.76	-0.47	239.03	0.639	HO

Table 1 Comparative analysis of gender grouping: academic panel

Source: Own elaboration

Of this first theoretical dimension under examination, the socio-economic status of the student, only in 6 out of 19 variables the null hypothesis is accepted, which obviously reflects significant differences between the sexes in 68.42% of the cases. Among the thirteen discordant variables by economy and sex we observe: 3) Career similar to the mother 8.19 and 8.40, higher in men; 5) Social stratum of the student, higher by .5 for men; 8) Father's schooling higher in women; 9) Distance from home to the university; 1.53 higher in men; 10) Mother's monthly income, .6 higher in men; 11) Father's monthly income in MXN higher by 1 for men; 12) Total monthly family income in MXN higher in men; 13) Father's monthly income in . 5 higher for men; 13) Student food expenses 1.2 higher for men; 15) Fixed cost per semester for men 4.45, is 2.16 higher than for women; 16) Weekly transport costs 1.39 higher for men; 17) Importance of remittances 1.56 higher for women; 18) Importance of remittances for their permanence in the university .86 higher for women; 19) Importance of the economic possibilities of their family in their choice of career, .17 higher for men. As it can be seen, men spend much more on tuition and transportation than women, and considering such differences, it is very likely that some of them arrive at university by car. On the other hand, women exhibit higher averages on indicators classically associated with poverty studies, such as the importance of remittances in the family economy and for staying in university. Male university students enjoy a better socioeconomic position than females, as reflected in their income and expenditure.

From these metrics, it can be inferred that female university students overcome economic difficulties in greater proportion than males, to the point of becoming the majority in 80.7% of the classrooms in Fresnillo, Zacatecas. Another relevant point is that the education of the fathers of the female university students' fathers is higher than that of their male counterparts, which supports the assertion that the fathers' education is a positive factor for their daughters to reach higher education.

The variables where women and men show economic homogeneity are: 1) Father in a union in the area where the young person studies; 2) Economic independence; 4) Career similar to the father's, although slightly higher in men; 6) Area of the home; 7) Mother's schooling; 14) Spending on school supplies per period, higher in women by .33%; 15) Spending on school supplies per period, higher in women by .33%; 16) Spending on school supplies per period, higher in men by .33%; 17) Spending on school supplies per period, higher in women by .33%.

Attribute	\mathbf{s}	N	M	SD	T	gl	Sig.	H(t)
Unionised parents youth student area	F	313	3.86	3.90	-0.63	443.00	0.531	НО
Economic_independence	M	132	4.11	3.93	-0.62	244.43	0.533	НО
Mother similar career	F	313	2.65	1.33	1.22	443.00	0.224	НО
Father_similar_career	M	132	2.49	1.18	1.28	276.49	0.201	HO
Current_age	F	313	8.19	1.06	-2.12	443.00	0.035	Hl
Living_area	M	132	8.40	0.70	-2.50	365.65	0.013	Hl
Mother schooling	F	313	8.21	1.14	-1.32	443.00	0.188	НО
Father_schooling	M	132	8.36	0.75	-1.55	362.85	0.123	НО
Distance from home university	F	313	8.15	1.87	-2.59	443.00	0.010	Hl
Attribute	M	132	8.66	1.97	-2.54	235.17	0.012	Hl
Mother monthly income pesosmexicanosMX	F	313	5.61	3.05	-1.26	443.00	0.208	НО
N - V	M	132	6.02	3.38	-1.21	225.37	0.227	HO
Monthly_parental_income_pesosmexicanosMX								
N								
Total_monthly_household_income_totalMXN	F	313	5.78	3.27	-0.32	443.00	0.752	HO
Student_expenditure_on_foods	M	132	5.89	3.23	-0.32	249.45	0.751	HO
Utility_expense_per_period	F	313	5.25	3.61	3.68	443.00	0.000	Hl
Four-monthly_fixed_fixed_cost_per_term	M	132	3.87	3.59	3.68	247.33	0.000	Hl
Transport_costs_weekly	F	313	2.36	2.84	-4.69	443.00	0.000	Hl
Home_economy_monthly_importance								
Importance_remittances_permanence_universit	S	N	M	DE	T	gl	Sig.	H(t)
y							_	
Importance_possibilities economic_family	M	132	3.89	3.77	-4.19	196.54	0.000	Hl
Attribute	F	313	5.47	1.80	-3.93	443.00	0.000	HI
Unionised_parents_youth_student_area	M	132	6.30	2.48	-3.46	192.18	0.001	HI
Economic_independence	F	313	5.53	1.91	-4.36	443.00	0.000	Hl
Mother_similar_career	M	132	6.51	2.65	-3.83	191.13	0.000	Hl
Father_similar_career	F	313	6.95	2.21	-2.44	443.00	0.015	Hl
Current_age	M	132	7.46	1.44	-2.88	368.02	0.004	Hl
Living_area	F	313	6.28	2.74	-4.73	443.00	0.000	Hl
Mother_schooling	M	132	7.48	1.46	-5.97	420.45	0.000	Hl
Father_schooling	F	313	6.70	3.48	0.93	443.00	0.352	HO
Distance_from_home_university	M	132	6.37	3.18	0.97	267.95	0.335	HO
Attribute	F	313	2.29	2.59	-7.68	443.00	0.000	Hl
Mother_monthly_income_pesosmexicanosMX	M	132	4.45	2.95	-7.29	220.77	0.000	Hl
N								
Monthly_parental_income_pesosmexicanosMX	F	313	3.77	2.78	-4.65	443.00	0.000	Hl
N	M	132	5.16	3.06	-4.48	226.53	0.000	Hl
Total_monthly_household_income_totalMXN								
Student_expenditure_on_foods	F	313	5.24	2.70	-5.43	443.00	0.000	Hl
Utility_expense_per_period	M	132	6.80	2.88	-5.29	232.83	0.000	Hl
Four-monthly_fixed_fixed_cost_per_term	F	313	5.84	3.54	-2.40	443.00	0.017	Hl
Transport_costs_weekly	M	132	6.70	3.12	-2.53	277.70	0.012	Hl
Home_economy_monthly_importance	F	313	9.33	1.59	-1.11	443.00	0.268	HO
	M	132	9.50	1.07	-1.29	357.65	0.197	HO
Where: F= Female; M= Male; N= Sample size; M freedom; sig= Significance; H (t)= Statistical deci	I= Mea							

Table 2 Comparative analysis of gender grouping: Socioeconomic status of students

Source: Own elaboration

Job market for professionals in career choice

For this opportunity, in 10 of the 31 attributes faced, equivalent to 32.25% of the items, significant differences were found between the sexes, in almost all of the mismatches, the perception of the male sex was higher in relation to the mean of the female sex responses. Males show optimistic expectations about the income expected from the degree, and they also appreciate more than females unofficial means such as family, friends and partners to obtain valid information about the labour market of the different careers, or about examples of professional success in the area in which the young person intends to develop. Women, on the other hand, are less likely to rely on unofficial means as a source of reliable information about the labour market or models of professional glory; they rely more than men on their own research from official sources such as the National Occupation and Employment Survey (ENOE), nor do they attach as much importance to the time of graduation as a factor in career selection.

Women and men show similar averages in terms of the importance given to curricula according to the needs of employers, internships, the importance of the labour market in career choice, expected future income and labour saturation in the desired area. The differences, as mentioned above, with the exception of the time of graduation, lie in the weighting that each group gives to external actors as a means of information, work examples and moderate expectations of future income, but as regards the direct link between the opportunities of a degree to successfully enter the labour market, they react in a similar way, whatever their channel of enquiry.

Attribute	S	N	M	SD	t	gl	Sig.	H(T)
Importance	F	313	9.57	1.34	-0.87	443.00	0.386	НО
plans and programmes	M	132	9.68	0.89	-1.01	359.94	0.311	НО
employer_agreements	F	313	3.31	3.41	0.40	443.00	0.693	НО
	M	132	3.16	4.01	0.37	214.67	0.712	HO
Importance	S	N	M	DE	t	gl	Sig.	H(T)
internships	F	313	4.46	3.77	1.17	443.00	0.241	НО
professional_selection	M F	132 313	4.01 3.03	3.67	1.19	252.33 443.00	0.237	HO
Attribute	M	132	3.95	3.40	-2.68 -2.65	240.21	0.008	H1 H1
Importance	F	313	9.08	1.75	-0.82	443.00	0.415	НО
job_market	M	132	9.23	1.83	-0.80	237.14	0.423	НО
career choice	F	313	5.65	3.34	0.12	443.00	0.901	НО
_	M	132	5.61	3.97	0.12	212.80	0.908	HO
Importance	F	313	4.96	3.37	-1.18	443.00	0.239	HO
qualification_time	M	132	5.38	3.58	-1.15	234.01	0.251	HO
mportance_of	F	313	5.28	2.92	-2.66	443.00	0.008	Hl
	M	132	6.12	3.34	-2.52	219.66	0.013	HI
recruitment	F	313	3.14	3.55	-1.23	443.00	0.218	HO
entry	M F	132 313	3.61	4.10	-1.16 0.59	217.93 443.00	0.246	HO HO
expected career_choice	M	132	3.43	3.92	0.59	257.15	0.534	HO
Market influence	F	313	4.16	3.17	1.56	443.00	0.119	HO
	M	132	3.62	3.74	1.46	213.89	0.119	HO
job	F	313	4.43	2.98	-0.79	443.00	0.431	НО
within_academic_area	M	132	4.69	3.66	-0.73	207.47	0.469	НО
Market_influence	F	313	5.20	3.16	-2.14	443.00	0.033	Hl
	M	132	5.92	3.44	-2.07	228.83	0.040	Hl
work	F	313	3.46	3.20	1.91	443.00	0.057	HO
outside_academic_area	M	132	2.78	3.88	1.77	209.51	0.079	НО
Labour_market_in_orientation	F	313	5.89	2.83	0.69	443.00	0.490	HO
to according to the state of the	M F	132	5.67	3.58	0.63	203.47	0.530	HO
in_vocational_orientation vocational	M	313 132	5.91 7.05	2.66	-4.11 -4.08	443.00 242.19	0.000	H1 H1
Job market	F	313	4.54	3.18	-1.35	443.00	0.000	HO
Job_market	M	132	5.01	3.59	-1.29	221.77	0.177	HO
different_subject	F	313	5.95	2.64	-3.93	443.00	0.000	HI
_orientation	M	132	6.98	2.31	-4.15	279.63	0.000	HI
	S	N	M	DE	t	gl	sig.	H(t)
Research_in_their_own_output	F	313	5.65	2.44	-0.86	443.00	0.393	HO
own_output	M	132	5.85	1.86	-0.95	320.07	0.341	Н
labour_market_Mexico	F	313	6.42	2.27	-2.22	443.00	0.027	H1
	M	132	6.94	2.27	-2.22	246.75	0.027	Hl
Direct_reception	F	313	4.24	3.06	-2.96	443.00	0.003	H1
_propaganda EENOE	M F	132 313	5.23 3.80	3.55 2.93	-2.79	217.43 443.00	0.006	H1 H1
EENOE	M	132	4.45	3.14	-2.08 -2.02	232.02	0.039	H1
Saturation_of_your_area	F	313	3.20	2.99	-0.67	443.00	0.501	HO
your_area	M	132	3.41	2.78	-0.69	262.84	0.489	НО
7	F	313	2.88	3.38	0.81	443.00	0.421	НО
Perception_of_your_professional_wag	M	132	2.59	3.57	0.79	234.92	0.431	НО
professional_wage	F	313	3.44	3.18	0.76	443.00	0.445	НО
	M	132	3.18	3.46	0.74	228.87	0.461	НО
Perception_of	F	313	1.79	2.77	-0.40	443.00	0.692	НО
job_failure	M	132	1.90	2.91	-0.39	236.16	0.698	НО
	F	313	5.75	2.81	-2.14	443.00	0.033	Hl
Perception_of_good	M	132	6.38	2.91	-2.11	238.58	0.036	H1
Remuneration	F	313	2.82	3.13	-0.88	443.00	0.381	НО
career_own_career	M	132	3.11	3.50	-0.84	223.57	0.403	HO
Colombia	F	313	5.62	3.35	-3.57	443.00	0.000	HI
Salary_perception	M F	132	6.83	2.99	-3.70	267.47	0.000	H1
Professional_Zacatecas	_	313 132	3.45	3.42	-1.96	443.00	0.050	IND
Perception_of_good_output	M F	313	4.08 3.67	3.42	-1.86 0.81	219.14 443.00	0.065	HO HO
r erception_or_good_output	M	132	3.39	3.14	0.81	259.74	0.420	HO
Where: F= Female; M= Male; N= Sampl	e size:	M = Me	an: 5D=					

Table 3 Comparative gender clustering analysis: Importance of the professional labour market in career choice

Source: Own elaboration

Attitudes, aptitudes and academic security in career selection

There are 26 attributes measured in terms of the aptitudes, attitudes and academic security of the university students, hypothetically situated in the context of their last year of baccalaureate, only in seven of the variables weighed, equivalent to 26.92% of the questions, show confrontation of the groups. Both sexes, during the last year of the baccalaureate, perceived similar deficiencies in their attitudes in mathematics, computer science, English. accounting, social studies humanities. Without rejecting hypothesis, women were more confident about their career choice at the end of the bachelor's degree, but their confidence in getting into their career option one was somewhat lower, and women were more suspicious about whether their bachelor's degree had prepared them for their desired degree.

BARRÓN-PALOS, Eduardo Javier, SALINAS-AGUIRRE, María del Consuelo, URIBE-SIERRA, Sergio Elías and SIERRA-CASTRO, Fátima Stephania. Comparative analysis dimensioned by sex on socioeconomic attributes, vocational orientation and gender perspective in career selection, Fresnillo, Zacatecas, Mexico, 2021. Journal University Management. 2022

Gender attitudes in biology, chemistry and ecology, health sciences and arts were unequal in favour of males. Differences in terms of skills, favouring females in mathematics and the arts.

Attribute	s	N	м	SD	T	øl	-1-	H(t)
Perception Sufficiency	F	313	2.19	3.18	-0.86	443.00	0.393	HO
Sufficiency skills	M	132	2.48	3.58	-0.82	222.50	0.416	НО
attitudes								
_career Attitude	F	313	2.67	2.98	0.47	443.00	0.637	но
mathematics	M	132	2.52	2.91	0.48	252.43	0.634	НО
_physics Attitude	F	313	1.59	2.47	-0.79	443.00	0.433	но
_computing	M	132	1.81	3.06	-0.72	206.25	0.472	НО
_other _technologies								
Attitude chemistry	F	313 132	4.35	3.49	-2.23 -2.23	443.00 247.16	0.026	H1
ecology				0.1.0		2		
Attitude_sciences health	F	313 132	6.61	3.02 2.30	-3.49	443.00	0.001	H1
_anatomy	М	132	7.64	2.30	-3.89	319.92	0.000	н
_physiology Attribute	s	N	м	DE	T	-1	sig	H(t)
Attitude_attitude	F	313	6.18	2.91	-4.29	443.00	0.000	H1
culture art	M	132	7.41	2.36	-4.66	300.04	0.000	HI
music								
Attitude languages	F	313	7.36	2.50 2.29	0.10	443.00	0.923	HO HO
foreign	М	132	7.33		0.10	267.37	0.920	
Attitude_attitude_ accounting	F M	313 132	6.23	3.22	-1.71	443.00	0.088	но
economics	М	132	6.79	2.96	-1.77	266.49	0.078	но
politics _administration								
Attitude	F	313	6.58	2.76	1.54	443.00	0.123	но
social law	M	132	6.11	3.15	1.46	219.76	0.145	НО
_psychology	1		ļ					
Attitude_attitude_ humanities	F M	313 132	6.76	2.80	-0.14 -0.14	443.00 234.97	0.885	HO
education	м	132	6.80	2.96	-0.14	234.97	0.888	но
philosophy _modernity	1		l					
Attitude	F	313	6.10	2.75	1.21	443.00	0.226	но
_subjects _important	M	132	5.73	3.39	1.12	207.42	0.266	НО
in_my_current	1		l					
_career	F	313	7.01	2.98	2.23	443.00	0.026	HI
Aptitude _mathematics	M	313 132	7.01 6.30	2.98 3.31	2.23 2.14	443.00 224.63	0.026	H1
s_physics Aptitude_in_my								
Aptitude_in_my _current_cureer	F M	313 132	6.99	2.82 2.97	1.09	443.00 235.38	0.277 0.287	HO HO
computing		1.72	0.07	2.77	1.07	255.56	0.207	110
other _technologies								
Aptitude _biology	F	313	8.15	2.59	-3.49	443.00	0.001	H1
_biology chemistry	M	132	8.97	1.13	-4.63	442.41	0.000	HI
_ecology								
Aptitude	F M	313 132	5.99 6.95	3.27 3.15	-2.87 -2.91	443.00 254.73	0.004	H1
_sciences _health	M	132	0.93	3.13	-2.91	234.73	0.004	n.
_anatomy _physiology								
Aptitude	F	313	5.70	3.24	-3.08	443.00	0.002	HI
_culture art	M	132	6.70	2.79	-3.27	284.17	0.001	H1
_music								
Aptitude	F M	313	6.48	2.96	-0.94	443.00 279.25	0.349	HO
_languages foreign _languages	м	132		2.00	-0.99			
Proficiency_	F M	313	5.92 6.45	3.28	-1.63	443.00	0.104	HO
accounting _economics	м	132	6.45	2.91	-1.71	276.34	0.088	но
_sciences politics	1	l	l	l	1			l
_administration								
Aptitude Sciences	F	313 132	6.10	2.95	-0.75 -0.75	443.00 246.82	0.453 0.453	HO
social sciences	м	132	6.33	2.95	-0.75	246.82	0.453	но
law _psychology	1	l	l	l	1			
Aptitude	F	313	6.54	2.76	0.07	443.00	0.944	но
humanities humanities	M	132	6.52	2.95	0.07	232.51	0.946	НО
education	1	l	l	l	1			
_philosophy _modernity	1	l	l	l	1			
Aptitude	F	313	5.89	2.84	-1.09	443.00	0.277	но
_subjects _important	M	132	6.22	2.97	-1.07	236.94	0.286	НО
_important my	1	l	l	l	1			
_current_cureer	F	313	6.85	3.10	146	443.00	0.146	HO
Security selection	F M	313 132	6.85	3.10	1.46	443.00 247.06	0.146 0.146	HO
before_higher_leaving	1					250	40	
high school Insecurity	F	313	6.68	3.12	1.17	443.00	0.244	но
	M	132	6.31	2.80	1.22	272.86	0.223	но
before_leaving high_school_choice	1	l	l	l	1			
Security	F	313	8.11	2.23	-0.83	443.00	0.406	но
entry option1	M	132	8.30	2.18	-0.84	252.33	0.402	но
_career		L						
Attribute	S	N 212	M	DE	T 1.22	gl	sig	H(t)
	F M	313 132	6.89 7.52	3.61 3.27	-1.72 -1.79	443.00 270.43	0.086 0.075	HO
Attribute Confidence		I		1	/			1
Confidence learned								
Confidence learned baccalaureate achievement								
Confidence learned baccalaureate achievement r_option1				4.5-	422	440		110
Confidence learned baccalaureate achievement r_option1 Confidence Baccalaureate	F M	313 132	5.11 4.55	3.87 4.30	1.35 1.29	443.00 224.88	0.178 0.198	HO HO
Confidence learned bacculaureate achievement r_option! Confidence	F M	313 132	5.11 4.55	3.87 4.30	1.35 1.29	443.00 224.88	0.178 0.198	НО

Table 4 Comparative gender cluster analysis: attitudes, skills and academic confidence in career choice

The role of guidance and counselling in career choice

Out of 25 variables, there are significant differences in only 3 attributes. Only 3% of the items agree on the usefulness of career guidance in defining attitudes, interests and academic, personal, social and family goals, the importance of guidance in choosing their current career, understanding more about their own self-esteem, values, the performance of the guidance counsellor, knowledge about career entry and exit profiles, validity of guidance plans, and the low rating they both give to guidance tests as a means of clarifying career choice.

The groups differ in the usefulness of guidance in defining academic aptitudes, more appreciated by men at .65 usefulness. For orientation as a subject, .96 higher in women, although their average barely reaches 5.19, confusion generated by the orientation tests, 1.32 units more for women, with an average of 6.48 on a scale of 0-10.

Attribute	S	N	M	SD	0.80	gl	sig	110
Utility_orientation vocational	F M	313 132	7.65	2.99 2.64	-0.89 -0.94	443.00 277.08	0.371	HO
defining_attitudes	IVI	132	1.52	2.04	=0.54	277.00	0.547	110
academic								
Usefulness_orientation vocational	F M	313 132	7.19 7.84	2.69	-2.34 -2.34	443.00 247.19	0.020	H1
defining_aptitudes	IVI	132	7.84	2.68	-2.34	247.19	0.020	н
academic								
Utility_orientation	F	313	7.08	2.58	-1.80	443.00	0.072	HC
vocational define_interests	M	132	7.58	2.93	-1.71	221.08	0.088	HC
_academic_interests								
Utility_orientation	F	313	4.81	3.11	-1.25	443.00	0.211	HC
vocational define_academic_goals	M	132	5.22	3.37	-1.21	229.56	0.226	HC
academic								
Utility_orientation	F	313	4.94	3.22	-1.40	443.00	0.163	HC
vocational	M	132	5.42	3.62	-1.33	222.48	0.184	HC
defining_personal_goals personal								
Vocational_orientation	F	313	5.07	3.25	-0.35	443.00	0.727	HC
_utility	M	132	5.19	3.34	-0.35	240.49	0.730	HO
vocational defining_goals								
family								
Vocational_orientation_utility	F	313	5.51	3.35	0.41	443.00	0.680	HC
vocational	M	132	5.36	3.65	0.40	228.39	0.690	HC
defining_goals	1							
family Utility_orientation	F	313	5.46	3.39	0.87	443.00	0.386	HC
vocational	M	132	5.14	3.66	0.84	230.65	0.380	HC
self-knowledge	1	-52		5.00	3.07	_50.05		
self-esteem	-	2				4/	0	
Utility _orientation	F M	313	4.67	3.61	0.09	443.00	0.928	HC
_orientation knowledge values	IVI	132	4.64	3.78	0.09	236.03	0.929	HC
own _own_values	L	L	L_	L !				L
Importance	F	313	5.19	3.40	1.07	443.00	0.286	HC
_orientation	M	132	4.81	3.32	1.08	252.46	0.281	HC
selection_of_current_career current_career								
Usefulness_material	F	313	5.19	3.72	2.55	443.00	0.011	H1
vocational	M	132	4.23	3.46	2.63	264.06	0.009	H1
vocational								
Utility	F	313	5.33	3.42	-0.03	443.00	0.973	HC
orientation understanding	M	132	5.34	3.33	-0.03	252.05	0.973	HC
own _personality	1		l					l
Importance_test	F	313	4.44	3.53	0.61	443.00	0.544	HC
orientation	M	132	4.21	3.87	0.58	227.24	0.560	HC
clarify career_choice	1							
Orientation_usefulness	F	313	6.48	3.27	3.79	443.00	0.000	Н1
know_profiles	M	132	5.16	3.59	3.65	227.30	0.000	H1
_entry_back	1							
_careers	F	313	1 62	2.52	1.14	443.00	0.256	HC
Usefulness_orientation know_profiles	M	132	4.62	3.52 3.51	1.14	443.00 247.63	0.256	HC
_entry_back	1/1	132	4.20	3.31	1.14	247.03	0.230	nc
careers								
Own_research	F	313	4.64	3.52	1.14	443.00	0.256	HC
_careers own interest	M	132	4.23	3.50	1.14	247.62	0.256	HC
Confusion multiple	F	313	4.12	3.41	-0.91	443.00	0.361	HC
_options	M	132	4.46	3.90	-0.91	219.44	0.388	HC
_university_choices								
Security_choice	F	313	4.84	3.37	0.90	443.00	0.366	HC
_career Prior_orientation	M	132	4.52	3.67	0.87	228.53	0.383	HC
vocational	1		l					l
Importance_of_	F	313	5.89	3.42	0.59	443.00	0.557	HC
orientation	M	132	5.67	3.88	0.56	221.12	0.577	HC
vocational	1							
career_choice validity_programme	F	313	4.24	3.68	-0.89	443.00	0.376	HC
varidity_programme vocational _orientation	M	132	4.58	3.97	-0.86	230.59	0.370	HC
vocational	1	-52		3.71	3.00	_50.57	,1	
your_baccalaureate	-							
Performance	F	313	5.58	3.86	0.16	443.00 240.46	0.876	HC
Orienteer Attribute	M S	132 N	5.52 M	3.97 DE	0.15	240.46 gl	0.877 sig	HC H(t
Fairness	F	313	3.30	3.50	-0.22	443.00	0.824	HC
oriented	M	132	3.38	3.65	-0.22	237.01	0.828	HC
Importance	F	313	4.24	3.27	-1.22	443.00	0.224	HC
oriented	M	132	4.65	3.24	-1.22	248.09	0.223	HC
best_choice	1		l]				l
_career Importance	F	313	5.10	3.26	0.12	443.00	0.908	HC
importance_ orienteer	M	132	5.10	3.88	0.12	212.94	0.908	HC
self-knowledge	1	-52	2.00	5.00	J	-12.77		
own	<u> </u>							<u> </u>
Importance	F	313	5.19	3.37	0.62	443.00	0.539	HC
								HC
_orienting career_choice	M	132	4.97	3.86	0.58	219.26	0.561	110

Table 5 Comparative gender clustering analysis: Importance of vocational orientation in career choice

The gender perspective in career choice

34 attributes are part of the combo gender expectation in career choice, in 14 of them significant differences were found, representing 41.17% of the questioned items. The sets tend to consider that their gender is relevant to the career they are studying and in the perception that society shows towards their career, considering it as masculine in general, this metric is obviously a little higher in women, although without opting for the researcher's hypothesis. Practically, equal appreciation on the social opinion of femininity of their degree, with significance within the parameters of null, which reveals that in social terms, the stigma of feminine and masculine careers persists. Both experienced almost equal, though slightly higher in males, opposition from their parents to their career choice on gender grounds, this metric is enhanced in males by the high averages obtained in nursing and management careers, apparently socially considered as suitable for women, with an average intensity of 2.44 in males, while opposition from friends was higher in females. A similar degree of family agreement with the chosen career, support with their academic Considerations responsibilities. above average of six on seeing one's own sex as an academic constraint, which is somewhat ironic, the low score given to neutrality of scholastic abilities in both sexes, just 1.53 on average in the best of cases, together with the high averages for considering one's own sex as an academic constraint, point to the presence of academic discrimination by sex, committed by women and men to the detriment of the same guild, since both seem to see their neighbour's garden as a little greener.

The perspective does not vary much either in the appreciation of ambition for good grades in men, female scholastic initiative, the ease of the careers chosen by them, contrary to what was expected, school bullying attributable to gender and career stigma in school, family or neighbourhood, is slightly higher among men, although, without reaching with such figures to opt for the alternative hypothesis, and with averages below 2. 5 for all cases, that is to say, however, although not with such serious intensity, bullying by the stigma of careers suitable for each gender remains a stale custom.

Among the attributes where, due to the differences in significance and means, the researcher's hypothesis (H1) was accepted, we find: self-image of career neutrality, higher in men by 1.44, although with a male average of 3.06, neither women nor men consider their careers as neutral. Greater opposition on the part of relatives to the choice of career for gender reasons, much higher in women by 1.59 units, in fact, this is the second item with the greatest differences among the weighted attributes of the section. Opposition of partners to career choice for gender reasons, higher in women by .7, opposition of acquaintances, .73, also higher in women, opposition of teachers to career choice for gender reasons, higher in women by .51, and opposition of managers to career choice for the same reasons, with a higher average in women by .74 units. .55 units higher among women that their gender is a constraint to their learning, although fortunately with a low average of 1.53. According to women, they worry about their professional future with an average of 8.97, while men's average for the same question was only .82, a difference of 8.15 units, the largest margin among the 159 items questionnaire.

According to men, women's chances of pursuing the studies they want is less than 1.58, but this difference is reduced to 1.18 when men and women are asked about men's chances of pursuing the studies they want, given that 58.65% of the respondents of both sexes were in favour of the studies they want. 65% of respondents of both sexes stated that they do not study their first career option, averages of 3.73 and 3.57 for each case are not surprising, a gap which, although it is significant in the T Student test, what should be most urgent and worrying is to widen the possibilities for everyone to enter their desired degree. We also see differences in the perception of ambition for good academic grades by women, 1.19 on average lower for men, as well as a worrying 3.57 on average in the best case. Finally, when asked in a direct, frank and straightforward manner, to what degree there is a feeling of obligation to choose between gender-appropriate careers, the difference between the sexes was .8, in favour of men, i.e. they were more concerned about this social, academic and sexual convention than women, although fortunately, the average for men was only 1.83 out of a maximum of 10 units.

Attributes Gender_relevance	S F	N 313	M 4.32	3.36	t 0.94	gl 443.00	sig. 0.346	HO
Gender_relevance Career	M	132	3.98	3.36	0.94	217.49	0.346	HO
Masculinity	F	313	4.62	3.69	0.80	443.00	0.426	НО
Career according to _society	M	132	4.30	4.01	0.77	228.89	0.442	НО
Femininity	F	313	4.01	3.50	-0.13	443.00	0.897	НО
_career according to _society	M	132	4.06	3.74	-0.13	232.22	0.900	НО
Neutrality	F	313	3.88	3.64	-4.54	443.00	0.000	H1
Career according to _society	M	132	5.54	3.18	-4.80	279.69	0.000	H1
Self_image masculinity	F M	313 132	4.53 4.67	3.21 3.44	-0.39 -0.38	443.00 231.40	0.696 0.704	HO HO
_career Own_image _femininity	F M	313 132	5.65	3.31	0.92 0.89	443.00 232.67	0.360 0.373	НО
_career Own_image _neutrality	F M	313 132	1.62	3.05	-4.30 -4.03	443.00 214.42	0.000	H1 H1
_career Opposition	F	313	2.16	3.27	-0.82	443.00	0.414	НО
_parents choice career_by gender_motives	M F	132 313	2.44	3.48	-0.80	233.43	0.426	НО
Opposition _relatives _election_choice race_for	M	132	7.43 5.84	3.55 4.54	3.95	443.00 201.72	0.000	H1 H1
gender_motives Opposition _friends _election_choice race for	F M	313 132	1.50 1.14	2.77	1.25 1.29	443.00 265.91	0.212 0.198	НО
gender_motives Opposition	F	313	1 01	3.05	2.35	1/12 00	0.010	H1
Opposition _partner election career_for	M	132	1.81	2.35	2.35	443.00 316.55	0.019	H1 H1
gender_motives	1_	<u> </u>	1	C	ļ	,	ļ	L_
Attributes Opposition	S F	N 313	M 1.45	SD 2.81	t 1.93	gl 443.00	sig. 0.055	H(t)
known choice	M	132	0.92	2.16	2.14	317.30	0.033	H1
career_career_for gender_motives Opposition	F	313	1.43	2.80	2.73	443.00	0.007	H1
_teachers selection	M	132	0.70	1.88	3.19	359.42	0.002	H1
career_career_by gender_motives								
Opposition _management _election	F M	313 132	1.61 0.87	2.79	2.68	443.00 303.13	0.008	H1 H1
career _career_by gender_motives Degree of agreement	F	313	1.26	2.58	-0.16	443.00	0.871	НО
family_with race_by gender	M	132	1.30	2.72	-0.16	235.38	0.874	НО
Family_support with	F	313	1.00	2.36	-1.72	443.00	0.086	НО
academic_responsibilities	M	132	1.46	3.01	-1.56	201.92	0.121	НО
Gender_as as_limiting _development	F M	313 132	6.19	3.62	-0.05 -0.05	443.00 244.90	0.957 0.957	НО
school Capabilities	F	313	7.08	3.21	0.45	443.00	0.653	НО
gender position	M	132	6.92	3.91	0.45	208.67	0.679	НО
within my branch_of_study Neutrality	F	313	1.57	2.65	0.22	443.00	0.823	НО
capabilities in_both_sexes	M	132	1.51	3.06	0.21	217.76	0.833	НО
both_sexes Restrictions	F	313	1.53	2.75	2.03	443.00	0.043	H1
_learning Attributable gender	M	132	0.98	2.38	2.15	281.96	0.033	HI
Concern	F	313	8.97	2.23	5.46	443.00	0.000	H1
future professional women	M	132	7.38	3.83	4.46	169.71	0.000	H1
Concern future	F M	313 132	1.51 0.82	2.60	2.72 2.96	443.00 300.91	0.007	H1 H1
professional men								
Possibilities women _study studies	F M	313 132	2.15	3.68	4.31	443.00 285.25	0.000	H1 H1
they_want Possibilities	F	313	3.11	3.01	3.85	443.00	0.000	H1
men to _study studies	M	132	1.93	2.75	3.99	268.26	0.000	H1
they_want Ambition good_notes academic	F M	313 132	3.57 2.39	3.58	3.26 3.42	443.00 275.35	0.001	H1 H1
_women Ambition good	F M	313 132	2.10	2.70	0.85 0.85	443.00 245.28	0.396 0.397	НО
_academic _notes academic men								

Initiative	F	313	2.40	3.16	-0.09	443.00	0.925	НО
_school	M	132	2.43	3.62	-0.09	218.95	0.929	НО
_women								
Initiative	F	313	3.74	3.41	-0.81	443.00	0.420	НО
school	M	132	4.04	3.95	-0.76	217.53	0.448	НО
men								
Facility	F	313	2.05	2.92	0.40	443.00	0.693	НО
_careers	M	132	1.93	2.89	0.40	249.15	0.692	НО
who_choose_women								
choose_women								
Facility	F	313	2.66	3.15	-1.92	443.00	0.055	НО
careers	M	132	3.33	3.72	-1.80	213.90	0.074	НО
who_choose_men								
choose_men								
Bullyng	F	313	1.77	2.76	-1.20	443.00	0.231	НО
school	M	132	2.14	3.44	-1.10	205.68	0.274	НО
Attribute:est:gen_career								
Attributes	S	N	M	DE	t	gl	sig.	H(t)
Attributes Bullyng_family_bullying_	S F	N 313	M	DE 2.93	t -1.80	gl 443.00	sig. 0.072	H(t)
Attributes Bullyng_family_bullying_ attributable								
Attributes Bullyng_family_bullying_ attributable stigma	F	313	1.79	2.93	-1.80	443.00	0.072	НО
Attributes Bullyng_family_bullying_ attributable stigma gender_and_career	F M	313	1.79	2.93 3.32	-1.80 -1.71	443.00 221.28	0.072	НО
Attributes Bullyng_family_bullying_ attributable stigma gender_and_career Bullyng_neighbourhood_	F M	313 132 313	1.79 2.36	2.93 3.32 2.52	-1.80 -1.71 -1.31	443.00 221.28 443.00	0.072 0.088 0.190	НО
Attributes Bullyng_family_bullying_ attributable stigma gender_and_career Bullyng_neighbourhood_ attributable	F M	313	1.79	2.93 3.32	-1.80 -1.71	443.00 221.28	0.072	НО
Attributes Bullyng_family_bullying_ attributable stigma gender_and_career Bullyng_neighbourhood_ attributable stigma	F M	313 132 313	1.79 2.36	2.93 3.32 2.52	-1.80 -1.71 -1.31	443.00 221.28 443.00	0.072 0.088 0.190	HO HO
Attributes Bullyng_family_bullying_ attributable stigma gender_and_career Bullyng_neighbourhood_ attributable stigma gender_and_race	F M	313 132 313 132	1.79 2.36 1.26 1.63	2.93 3.32 2.52 3.21	-1.80 -1.71 -1.31 -1.19	443.00 221.28 443.00 202.16	0.072 0.088 0.190 0.235	HO HO HO
Attributes Bullyng_family_bullying_ attributable stigma gender_and_career Bullyng_neighbourhood_ attributable stigma gender_and_race Feeling_of	F M	313 132 313 132 313	1.79 2.36 1.26 1.63	2.93 3.32 2.52 3.21	-1.80 -1.71 -1.31 -1.19	443.00 221.28 443.00 202.16	0.072 0.088 0.190 0.235	HO HO HO HO
Attributes Bullyng_family_bullying_ attributable stigma gender_and_career Bullyng_neighbourhood_ attributable stigma gender_and_race Feeling_of obligation	F M	313 132 313 132	1.79 2.36 1.26 1.63	2.93 3.32 2.52 3.21	-1.80 -1.71 -1.31 -1.19	443.00 221.28 443.00 202.16	0.072 0.088 0.190 0.235	HO HO HO
Attributes Bullyng_family_bullying_ attributable stigma gender_and_career Bullyng_neighbourhood_ attributable stigma gender_and_race Feeling_of obligation choice_between	F M	313 132 313 132 313	1.79 2.36 1.26 1.63	2.93 3.32 2.52 3.21	-1.80 -1.71 -1.31 -1.19	443.00 221.28 443.00 202.16	0.072 0.088 0.190 0.235	HO HO HO HO
Attributes Bullyng_family_bullying_ attributable stigma gender_and_career Bullyng_neighbourhood_ attributable stigma gender_and_race Feeling_of obligation choice_betweencareers	F M	313 132 313 132 313	1.79 2.36 1.26 1.63	2.93 3.32 2.52 3.21	-1.80 -1.71 -1.31 -1.19	443.00 221.28 443.00 202.16	0.072 0.088 0.190 0.235	HO HO HO HO
Attributes Bullyng_family_bullying_ attributable stigma gender_and_career Bullyng_neighbourhood_ attributable stigma gender_and_race Feeling_of obligation choice_betweencareers gender_fit_careers	F M F M	313 132 313 132 313 132	1.79 2.36 1.26 1.63 1.12 1.83	2.93 3.32 2.52 3.21 2.39 3.19	-1.80 -1.71 -1.31 -1.19 -2.56 -2.28	443.00 221.28 443.00 202.16 443.00 195.78	0.072 0.088 0.190 0.235 0.011 0.023	HO HO HO HO H1 H1
Attributes Bullyng_family_bullying_ attributable stigma gender_and_career Bullyng_neighbourhood_ attributable stigma gender_and_race Feeling_of obligation choice_betweencareers	F M F M	313 132 313 132 313 132	1.79 2.36 1.26 1.63 1.12 1.83	2.93 3.32 2.52 3.21 2.39 3.19	-1.80 -1.71 -1.31 -1.19 -2.56 -2.28	443.00 221.28 443.00 202.16 443.00 195.78	0.072 0.088 0.190 0.235 0.011 0.023	HO HO HO HO HI H1

Table 6 Comparative gender cluster analysis: Gender perspective in career choice

Cultural elements in career choice

24 are the attributes subjected to T Student test in the dimension of the role of cultural elements in career choice, in 9 of them, equivalent to 37.5% of the attributes, the null hypothesis was rejected due to differences between the groups. The influence exerted by the first-order family on career choice is similar in both sexes, very small in terms of the average, 1.5 in the case of men, nor do they differ too much on the influence of close friends, again with low averages, very close to the weight of parents in the decision. The influence of local culture on career choice shows low averages for both sexes, although higher for males. There is no great discordance in the choice of career for cultural reasons between groups. Partner's the antagonism to culturally motivated career choice is also moderate, 1.37 in males, and similar is the case with resistance to culturally motivated career choice from teachers, school management and acquaintances, in all 3 cases, males show a slightly higher incidence. The female sexes consider themselves to be slightly more religious than the male sex, maximum 4.12. And both sexes consider almost equally that their career is morally accepted by society. No significant differences were found regarding the difficulty of the current career, with an average of 7.60, and whether such difficulty influenced the career decision, 2.54, maximum averages, corresponding to the male sex. Both women and men rate the social prestige of their careers highly, with averages of 7.81 and 7.82 respectively.

Women rate the usefulness of their career for the development of their community .6 higher than men. Females suffer .35 more family pressure to choose their current career than males, with a mean of 1.37. Females also have a higher mean in terms of the perceived usefulness of their career for the development of their community. Although with brief asymmetries, in this block of attributes, the above singularities still reside within the limits of acceptance of H0.

Of the attributes where the Student's thypothesis test indicated to opt for H1 we find, that the greatest opposition to career choice for cultural reasons is exercised by close relatives, with its maximum in females 1.5 out of 10 possible in quotient. On the influence of their religion on their choice of career, there are differences between the sections, such influence is .55 higher in men, with 1.73 on average. The consideration that their career is accepted or well regarded by their religion is .93 higher for males with 7.58 on average, similarly, males consider at 2.01 units higher the metric on social respect for professionals, but, at the same time, the same males place at 5.14 on average the social respect for a non-professional, 2.19 units higher than the female average on the same issue. The male sex, on average, considers that being a professional is important for social success, 4.85 units higher than the female average. Men rate .62 higher than women the perception of social respect for their careers. While men rate .61 higher with a mean of 8.86, the usefulness of their career for personal progress, women report a considerably higher family opposition to going abroad to study, with a maximum average for women of 3.35, 1.06 units higher than the opposition in men.

Attribute Family_influence	S F	N 313	M 1.02	SD 2.26	-2.00	gl	sig. 0.047	H(t) IND
of first	M	132	1.02	2.26	-1.79	443.00 198.18	0.047	HO
career_choice_order	141	132	1.55	2.70	-1.77	170.10	0.073	110
Friends_influence	F	313	1.33	2.52	1.05	443.00	0.293	НО
from_first	M	132	1.05	2.66	1.03	234.88	0.304	НО
career_choice_order								
Influencia_cultura	F	313	1.01	2.31	-1.86	443.00	0.064	HO
_local_de _primer_orden	M	132	1.51	3.20	-1.63	190.71	0.105	НО
_elección_carrera								
Culture influence	F	313	1.55	2.83	0.65	443.00	0.516	НО
local_from	M	132	1.36	2.81	0.65	248.17	0.515	НО
first_order								
career_choice								
Parent_opposition	F	313	1.56	2.63	2.06	443.00	0.040	H1
selection career_motives	M	132	1.01	2.44	2.13	263.85	0.034	H1
_cultural_motives								
Opposition_relatives	F	313	1.16	2.46	-0.79	443.00	0.430	НО
_choice	M	132	1.37	2.86	-0.74	216.68	0.459	НО
career_career_cultural_motives								
Opposition_teachers	F	313	1.14	2.36	-1.42	443.00	0.157	HO
career_choice	M	132	1.52	2.93	-1.30	206.04	0.195	НО
_motive s_cultural		l	l				1	
Opposition_management	F	313	1.06	2.27	-1.89	443.00	0.059	НО
_career_election	M	132	1.55	3.00	-1.69	196.79	0.093	НО
career_choice								
_cultural_motives								
Opposition_neighbours_	F	313	1.01	2.22	-1.37	443.00	0.172	НО
career_election	M	132	1.36	2.84	-1.24	201.44	0.217	НО
cultural_motives	F	313	4.12	2.00	0.14	443.00	0.890	НО
Degree_of_religiosity	M	132	4.12	3.06	0.14	220.80	0.890	НО
Attribute	S	N	4.08 M	SD	t.13	gl	sig.	H(t)
Influence_religion	F	313	1.18	2.18	-2.12	443.00	0.034	H1
career_choice	M	132	1.73	3.15	-1.84	186.02	0.068	НО
Moral_career_acceptance	F	313	6.85	3.12	-0.56	443.00	0.576	НО
	M	132	7.03	3.07	-0.56	250.05	0.574	НО
Acceptance_of_your	F	313	6.65	3.32	-2.80	443.00	0.005	H1
career_religion	M	132	7.58	2.87	-2.97	282.80	0.003	H1
Social_respect_for	F	313	5.33	3.54	-5.50	443.00	0.000	H1
_professionals	M	132	7.34	3.48	-5.53	250.11	0.000	H1
Social_respect_for	F	313	2.95	3.08	-6.28	443.00	0.000	H1
nonprofessionals	M	132	5.14	3.96	-5.68	201.03	0.000	H1
Importance_of_being professional	F M	313 132	3.94 4.85	3.53	-2.41 -2.32	443.00 227.12	0.016	H1 H1
social_success	IVI	132	4.63	3.00	-2.32	227.12	0.021	пі
Importance_of_choice	F	313	2.56	3.28	0.06	443.00	0.951	НО
_difficulty	M	132	2.54	3.40	0.06	238.47	0.952	НО
current_career								
Current_difficulty	F	313	7.31	2.41	-1.22	443.00	0.222	НО
_career	M	132	7.60	1.80	-1.37	326.64	0.170	НО
Social_respect_for_your	F	313	6.49	2.33	-2.58	443.00	0.010	H1
Career_Zacatecas	M	132	7.11	2.19	-2.65	261.09	0.009	1111
							l	H1
Attribute	S	N	M	SD	t	gl	sig.	H(t)
Prestige_of_your	F	313	7.81	1.91	-0.05	443.00	0.961	HO
University	M	132	7.82	1.99	-0.05	237.18	0.961	НО
Career_utility	F	313	8.26	1.97	0.27	443.00	0.784	НО
Development	M	132	8.20	1.74	0.29	276.11	0.773	НО
Your_community								
Career_utility	F	313	8.25	2.17	-2.84	443.00	0.005	H1
personal_progress	M	132	8.86	1.85	-3.03	286.75	0.003	H1
Family_pressure	F	313	1.37	2.80	1.28	443.00	0.201	HO
to_choose Current_career	M	132	1.02	2.25	1.40	303.81	0.163	НО
Family_opposition	F	312	3.35	3.85	2.70	442.00	0.007	H1
to_go_out_to_study_outside	M	132	2.29	3.62	2.76	261.41	0.007	H1
to_study_outside	L"	L						
Where: F= Female; M= Male; N	= Sar	nple si:	ze; M=	Mean;	SD= Sta	ndard dev	iation; t=	-
Student's t-test; gl= degrees of fr								

 Table 7 Comparative gender cluster analysis: cultural

 elements in career selection

Conclusions

Having analysed the differences within the 6 theoretical dimensions mentioned above, participants in the career choice according to our construct with the help of the Student's t-test for independent samples, it remains to add that. In general terms, 159 attributes were computed on a 0-10 ratio scale, locating 59 significant gender differences within the constructs, equivalent to 37.10% of the items.

The most disparate attributes according to gender, ordered by intensity of occurrence, are: 1) The socio-economic status of the student, differences in 68.42% of the items; 2) The gender perspective in career choice, clashes in 41.17% of the variables; 3) Cultural elements in career choice, disparities in 37. 5% of the attributes; 4) Job market for professionals in career choice, oppositions in 32.25% of the questionnaires; 5) Attitudes, aptitudes and academic security in career selection. differences in 26.92% of the cases; 6) The role of guidance and counsellor in career choice, only 3% of the findings. In another reading, 44.05% of the asymmetries between genders are of an economic nature, 27.11% of them socioeconomic and the rest are labour market assessments for professionals. Disparities of 38.97% in the dimensions of gender perspective in the choice of career and other cultural elements towards the same decision. Only 16.94% irregularities in academic attitudes and skills and the role of vocational guidance.

In this overall picture, it is striking that none of the 132 male respondents reported that the total monthly family income in their household was less than or equal to 3 on our scale, which covers a range from 2,000 to 7,999 MXN per month, while on the other hand, 12.1 % of the female respondents live in households with family income in the same range. The next stratum, with a maximum total household income of MXN 9,999, we have as a cumulative percentage 15.3 % of women, compared to only 2.3 % of men. The cumulative percentage of women and men corresponding to each income level is finally equalised at the 8th level of our scale, with a maximum income of MXN 17,999. with 77% of women and 74.2% of men. 72% of the male students say that their family's income is between levels 5 and 8 of our scale, with 7 being the most common and the maximum income being 13,999 MXN, while 51.8% of the female students have incomes in the same range and mode as the male students. For the final segment between 8 and 10, the highest income in our metric, from 16,000 to 20,000 thousand MXN or more, 48.2% of women are located, in men, this indicator rises to 44.7%.

At present, with the exception of a few engineering degrees, women are in the majority in almost all careers in Fresnillo, Zacatecas. An important part of the explanation is that about a quarter of them, 21.7%, belong to less favoured socio-economic statuses, with family incomes ranging between 2,000 and 11,999 Mexican pesos. This metric is further contextualised by the fact that, as a consequence, 3.5 % of women dropped out of higher education at some point for economic reasons, compared to only 1.5 % of men in the same category. Women are 2.33 times more likely to drop out of university for financial reasons than men, for whom "the course did not suit me" was the most common reason for dropping out. It is true that the majority of Fresnillo's university students today benefit from the Benito Juárez scholarship, but there is still a need for additional support for the most economically disadvantaged group. Some of the policies that could reduce the current financially disadvantaged university dropout rate and increase student achievement include: remission of internal fees, school supplies, transport assistance, student canteens, food and food parcels and linkage programmes for parttime employment.

Within the area of vocational guidance, it is necessary to put more emphasis on the verification of labour market research in the different careers, especially for men, since they tend to be influenced by unofficial means of Unfortunately, information. as could observed, stereotypes of female and male careers are not only socially presented to students, but also reproduced by them. Opposition to genderbased career choices is far from disappearing, although the highest metric is among male nurses, it is women who suffer from this stale ideology from a greater number of actors, especially from close relatives and romantic partners. Therefore, today more than ever, workshops with a gender perspective should be continued and expanded in schools neighbourhoods of the municipality.

References

Anthony & Cols. (1984), citado por Galilea. V. (2000) Orientación vocacional. Recuperado de http://

www.sie.es/crl/archivo_pdf/ORIENTACION %20VOCACIONAL.pdf.

http://www.crlnuevavida.es/crl/images/articulos _pdf/Orientacion_vocacional.pdf

Armenta. (2007). No Title FACTORES SOCIOECONÓMICOS QUE INTERVIENEN EN EL DESEMPEÑO ACADÉMICO DE LOS ESTUDIANTES UNIVERSITARIOS DE LA FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS HUMANAS DE LA UNIVERSIDAD AUTÓNOMA DE BAJA CALIFORNIA. REVISTA IIPSI FACULTAD DE PSICOLOGÍA UNMSM, (ISSN IMPRESA: 1560-909X ISSN ELECTRÓNICA: 1609-7475). Retrieved from http://pepsic.bvsalud.org/pdf/ripsi/v11n1/v11n1 a10.pdf

BARRÓN-PALOS, Eduardo Javier, SALINAS-AGUIRRE, María del Consuelo, URIBE-SIERRA, Sergio Elías and SIERRA-CASTRO, Fátima Stephania. Theoretical and methodological background on the economic attributes in the choice of university career. Journal Economic Development. 2021. 8-26:17-25. DOI: 10.35429/JED.2021.26.8.17.25 URL: https://www.ecorfan.org/bolivia/researchjournals/Desarrollo_Economico/vol8num26/Journal_E conomic_Development_V8_N26_3.pdf

Bolaños. (2001). Elección de carrera. *Facultad de Economía, UANL. Vol. XXXIX Número 235*, (Revista de difusión). Retrieved from http://eprints.uanl.mx/8671/1/Eleccion de carrera.pdf

Burin, Mabel Las "fronteras de cristal" en la carrera laboral de las mujeres. Género, subjetividad y globalización Anuario de Psicología, vol. 39, núm. 1, abril, 2008, pp. 75-86 Universitat de Barcelona Barcelona, España. ISSN: 0066-5126. URL: https://www.redalyc.org/pdf/970/97017401006.pdf

Cabrera G. (1987). Factores Externos que inciden en la Elección Profesional. Tesis de Grado presentada para optar al Título de Master en Educación Área Administración. UNIVERSIDAD DE ANTIOQUIA Facultad de Educación Pasto - Colombia. Retrieved from http://ayura.udea.edu.co:8080/jspui/bitstream/1 23456789/1000/1/CC0523.pdf

Camarena, G. B. O. (2009). EL PROGRAMA DE ORIENTACIÓN EDUCATIVA EN BACHILLERATO COMO MEDIADOR EN LA ELECCIÓN DE CARRERA. *Revista Mexicana de Investigación Educativa. Ciudad de México.*, (ISSN: 1405-6666). Retrieved from http://www.redalyc.org/pdf/140/14004109.pdf Carrasco, E. (2014). No Title Elección de carrera en estudiantes de nivel socioeconómico bajo de universidades chilenas altamente selectivas. *SciELO*. https://doi.org/DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.4067/S0718-45652014000100004

Casimiro Dionicio, R. L. (2021). La influencia de los estereotipos de género en la elección de carreras STEM en estudiantes de secundaria: aplicación del modelo socio-cognitivo del desarrollo de la carrera (SCCT). Tesis para optar el Título Profesional de Licenciado en Psicología. 2021. Editorial: Universidad San Ignacio de Loyola. Disponible en: http://repositoio.usil.edu.pe/handle/USIL/11837

Castro Villalobos, L. & nciso Cedeño, Y. (2022). Estereotipos de género en un grupo de estudiantes de la Facultad De Contaduría Pública de la Universidad Santo Tomás De Villavicencio. [Trabajo de grado, Universidad Santo Tomás]. Repositorio http://hdl.handle.net/11634/46787. URL: https://repositorioslatinoamericanos.uchile.cl/handle/2250/3663361

Cavazos., R. A. G. (2003). "Los motivos en la elección de carreras en las profesiones de alta y baja demanda." (Tesis de Maestría). Universidad Autónoma de Nuevo León, Monterrey Nuevo León.

Chavez, Y. L., Zumaeta, G. M. P., Tarrillo, J. J. C., & Zea, K. L. H. (2022). Emprendimiento social: diferencias demográficas y género en estudiantes universitarios/as peruanos/as. Prisma Social: revista de investigación social, (38), 244-265. URL: https://revistaprismasocial.es/article/view/4690

Chiroleu, A. (2009). N. *Revista Iberoamericana de Educación*, *n.º* 48/5 –(ISSN: 1681-5653). Retrieved from file:///C:/Users/ejbar/Downloads/2740Chiroleu (1).pdf

Correa Mejía, Dominique Mayerny, Abarca Guangaje Angie Nataly, Baños Peña Cristhy Anali y Aorca Shtefanny Gabriela Analuisa (2019): "Actitud y aptitud en el proceso del aprendizaje". *Revista Atlante: Cuadernos de Educación y Desarrollo*. Disponible en: https://www.eumed.net/rev/atlante/2019/06/acti tud-aptitud-aprendizaje.html

Covarrubias, M.L. (2003). "Elección de carrera: Aspectos que influyen en los jóvenes". (Tesis de Licenciatura). Universidad Pedagógica Nacional, Unidad Ajusco. Ciudad de México. Retrieved from, htt://200.23.11351/pdf/29909.pdf

De León, M. T., & Briones, D. L. R. (2012). LA CORRELACIÓN ENTRE LOS INTERESES, APTITUDES Y PREFERENCIAS VOCACIONALES CON LA CARRERA QUE ELIGEN AL EGRESAR LOS ALUMNOS DEL CENTRO DE BACHILLERATO TECNOLÓGICO AGROPECUARIO, MÉXICO, (ISSN 2224-2643). Retrieved from https://dialnet.unirioja.es/servlet/articulo?codig o=4228900

Debeljuh, P., Foutel, M., & Torres Carbonell, S. Barreras desafíos de las **Emprendedoras** STEM. 360: Revista DeURL: Ciencias De La Gestión, (7).https://revistas.pucp.edu.pe/index.php/360gesti on/article/view/25843.

Delgado, G. (2006). La intersección entre el género y el estrato socioeconómico en la elección de profesión del área de la salud. *Gaceta Médica de México, Volumen: 1*(ISSN: 0016-3813), 467–476. Retrieved from https://conricyt13.summon.serialssolutions.com/#!/search?ho=t&fvf=IsFullText,true,f%7CCont entType,Journal Article,f&l=es-ES&q=eleccion de carrera genero

Di gresia L. (2009). "EDUCACIÓN UNIVERSITARIA: ACCESO, ELECCIÓN DE CARRERA Y RENDIMIENTO." Editorial de La Universidad Nacional de La Plata (EDULP), ND(978-950-34-0534-5), ND. Retrieved from http://sedici.unlp.edu.ar/handle/10915/34204

Donoso S, S. E. (2007). ANALISIS DE LOS MODELOS EXPLICATIVOS DE RETENCION DE ESTUDIANTES EN LA UNIVERSIDAD: UNA VISION DESDE LA DESIGUALDAD SOCIAL. Estudios Pedagógicos, XXXIII, Nº(0716–050X), 7–27. https://doi.org/29.367.759

Garbanzo, G. M. (2007). No Title Factores asociados al rendimiento académico en estudiantes universitarios, una reflexión desde la calidad de la educación sup erior pública. *Revista Educación*, *31(1)*(0379-7082, 2007), 43–63. Retrieved from http://www.redalyc.org/pdf/440/44031103.pdf

García Hernández, F. R., & Quevedo-Aguado, M. P. (2022). Creencias de estudiantes universitarios sobre responsabilidad: implicaciones para la intervención social. *Persona*, (025(1), 93-115. https://doi.org/10.26439/persona2022.n025(1).5738.

URL:https://revistas.ulima.edu.pe/index.php/Persona/article/view/5738

García, G. P. (2002). Las carreras en Ingeniería en el marco de la globalización: una perspectiva de género. *Revista Latinoamericana de Estudios Educativos (México)*, (ISSN: 0185-1284). Retrieved from http://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=2703230 5

González, A. (2014). Didactemas: Tipos de investigación. Facultad de Humanidades y Ciencias de la Educación. Universidad Autónoma de Coahuila.

V. González, Maura, (2009).Y AUTODETERMINACIÓN CONDUCTA EXPLORATORIA. **ELEMENTOS** ESENCIALES EN LA COMPETENCIA PARA ELECCIÓN LA **PROFESIONAL** RESPONSABLE. REVISTA IBEROAMERICANA DE EDUCACIÓN. N, .º 51 (200(1022-6508).Retrieved from https://rieoei.org/historico/documentos/rie51a10 .htm

Jara, L. (2010). Identidad vocacional en el tránsito del colegio a la universidad y en los primeros años de vida universitaria. *Lima, Universidad De,* (SN: 1560-6139). Retrieved from

http://www.redalyc.org/pdf/1471/14711821200 8.pdf

Jiménez, J., & Salas, M. (1999). ANÁLISIS ECONÓMICO DE LA ELECCIÓN DE CARRERA UNIVERSITARIA. UN MODELO LOGIT BINOMIAL DE DEMANDA PRIVADA DE EDUCACIÓN, (84-482-2025–0). Retrieved from https://web2011.ivie.es/downloads/docs/wpasec/wpasec-1999-03.pdf

Klubitschko, D. (1980). EL ORIGEN SOCIAL DE LOS ESTUDIANTES DE LA UNIVERSIDAD DE BUENOS AIRES. *ND*. Retrieved from http://bibliotecavirtual.clacso.org.ar/Argentina/i igg-uba/20100413094208/dt34.pdf

ISSN 2523-2495 ECORFAN® All rights reserved. BARRÓN-PALOS, Eduardo Javier, SALINAS-AGUIRRE, María del Consuelo, URIBE-SIERRA, Sergio Elías and SIERRA-CASTRO, Fátima Stephania. Comparative analysis dimensioned by sex on socioeconomic attributes, vocational orientation and gender perspective in career selection, Fresnillo, Zacatecas, Mexico, 2021. Journal University Management. 2022

Lagarde Marcela. 2018. "Género y feminismo: desarrollo humano y democracia. Ciudad de México: Siglo XXI EDITORES. ISBN:978-607-03-0963-2. 269 p. Sociología -política.

Latiesa, M. (1987). No Title Demanda de educación superior: evaluaciones y condicionamientos de los estudiantes en la elección de carrera. *Reis*, (ISSN:48/89 pp. 101-139). Retrieved from http://www.reis.cis.es/REIS/PDF/REIS_046_07.pdf

Maldonado, M. P. (2006). No Title La influencia que tiene el perfil socioeconómico en la elección de la carrera en los alumnos de la escuela de Trabajo Social de la Universidad Don Vasco A.C. Universidad Don Vasco A.C. Retrieved from http://www.remeri.org.mx/tesis/INDIXE-TESIS.jsp?type=1&search2=&search=UNAM &ind=1676&step=50&order=1&asc=0

Montero-Bagatella, Juan Carlos. (2013). El concepto de seguridad en el nuevo paradigma de la normatividad mexicana. Región y sociedad / año xxv / no. 58. 2013. issn 1870-3925. Disponible en: http://www.scielo.org.mx/pdf/regsoc/v25n58/v25n58a7.pdf

Orozco Blum (2009).Lorena Andrea. QUE **VARIABLES** *INCIDEN* ENLA ELECCIÓN DE CARRERA Y CASA DE ESTUDIOS, EN LA PERSPECTIVA DEL GÉNERO. (Tesis de grado) Universidad de Chile Facultad de Economía y Negocios Escuela de Economía y Administración, Santiago de Chile. Retrieved from ND. https://repositorio.uchile.cl/bitstream/handle/22 50/111782/Tesis%20Lorena%20Andrea%20Or ozco%20Blum.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y

Peñafiel Moncayo, Isabel Romané, Flores Dávila Jaime Vinicio y Vaca Zambrano Segundo Enrique (2019): "Percepción de estudiantes universitarios con respecto a la elección de su carrera profesional - una decisión de gran trascendencia", Revista Atlante: Cuadernos de Educación y Desarrollo (febrero 2019). En línea:https://www.eumed.net/rev/atlante/2019/0 2/estudiantes-carrera-

profesional.html//hdl.handle.net/20.500.11763/atlante1902estudiantes-carrera-profesional.

ISSN: 1989-4155

Ranero-Castro, M. . (2018). Mujeres y academia en México: avances, retos y contradicciones. *Revista Eduscientia*. *Divulgación De La Ciencia Educativa*, *1*(1), 72–88. URL: https://eduscientia.com/index.php/journal/article/view/9

Salas Velasco & Martin Cobos (2004). La demanda de educación superior: un análisis microeconómico con datos de corte transversal. *Revista de Educación*, (0034-8082). Retrieved from

https://dialnet.unirioja.es/servlet/articulo?codig o=2057279

Santos Miranda Pinto, M., Francisca Monteiro, A., & Meneses Osório, A. J. . (2022). Pensamiento Crítico, Creatividad y Pensamiento Computacional en la Sociedad Digital. *Revista Prisma Social*, (38), 1–4. Retrieved from; https://revistaprismasocial.es/article/view/485

Torres, González Obdulia. Pau, Bernadette. (2011). "Techo de cristal" y "suelo pegajoso". La situación de la mujer en los sistemas alemán y español de ciencia y tecnología. CTS: Revista iberoamericana de ciencia, tecnología y sociedad, ISSN 1668-0030, Vol. 6, N°. 18, 2011. URL:

https://dialnet.unirioja.es/servlet/articulo?codig o=3716827

Vercellino, S., Gibelli, T. I., & Chironi, J. M. (2022). Caracterización de los/as ingresantes a carreras de ciencias aplicadas de la Universidad Nacional de Río Negro. Revista Argentina de Educación Superior, (24), 25-45. URL: Dialnet-CaracterizacionDeLosasIngresantesACarrerasD eCienci-8512745%20(1).pdf

Vries, D. (2008). CONCLUSIONES A CONTRAPELO. LA APORTACIÓN DE DISTINTAS CARRERAS UNIVERSITARIAS A LA SATISFACCIÓN EN EL EMPLEO. *Revista de La Educación Superior, Vol. XXXVI*(0185–2760.), 67–84. Retrieved from http://www.scielo.org.mx/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S0185-27602008000200005